


Class Environmental Assessment for the Wyandotte Street East extension and Jarvis Avenue

Public Information Centre #2  
August 26, 2021 via Zoom

Results from Survey Monkey

## Q1 Are you satisfied with the proposed designs for Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue?

Answered: 37 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Very satisfied	0.00%	0
Satisfied	8.11%	3
Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied	5.41%	2
Dissatisfied	16.22%	6
Very dissatisfied	70.27%	26
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>37</b>

## Q2 What design features would you change, if anything?

Answered: 35 Skipped: 2

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	More clarification regarding "swale" capacity to handle roadway run-off during major rain events. Also, I would like to see speed bumps on areas of Jarvis to address speeding traffic.	10/4/2021 7:55 PM
2	The extension of Wyandotte is unnecessary, and potentially creates more significant problems than the EA set out to solve. This extension does NOT fix any drainage issues currently experienced by Jarvis residents. The extension will create safety concerns, bringing unwanted traffic to the neighbourhood. There is currently significant bike and foot traffic on Jarvis. With an extension and more car traffic, the safety of pedestrians and cyclists will be compromised. Completing the Wyandotte extension will compromise our neighbourhood, and ultimately, will best serve Tecumseh residents, NOT Windsorites:(	10/4/2021 3:12 PM
3	Keep Wyandotte closed and put in a multi-use trail linking present Wyandotte with Jarvis. -put in a multi-use trail at the south end of Jarvis to connect to Little River Rd. and Blue Heron park. A sidewalk along Castle hill Rd. to the east to connect to Cora Greenwood rd.	10/3/2021 8:15 PM
4	I wouldn't do the project at all. It does not serve Jarvis residents or its neighbours. It only serves Tecumseh and diverts traffic from Riverside Drive.	10/3/2021 8:06 PM
5	Then Jarvis road design is well thought out but the entire street of Jarvis needs improvement from Riverside Dr. to the end of the cul de sac. Not put Wyandotte through AT ALL!	10/3/2021 7:58 PM
6	finish Wyandotte street to Jarvis as promised for many years!	10/2/2021 4:23 PM
7	New street	10/2/2021 11:31 AM
8	I see no benefit to having Wyandotte go through to Jarvis. Increased traffic means less safety for kids playing. Not to mention noise and annoyance. Environmental and wild life concerns.	10/2/2021 9:53 AM
9	Upgrade to Jarvis street only	10/2/2021 8:10 AM
10	It is not a problem that we don't have access to J from west. We don't need wyandotte. Jarvis S b fixed from N to S as a stand-alone project. Use the right a way parcel for a multi use trail to allow walkers and bikers to connect witj Wyandotte, the G trail etc.	10/2/2021 4:56 AM
11	I would allow only pedestrian and other non-vehicular traffic such as bicycles and escooters to have passage through Wyandotte onto Jarvis. I would install removable ballards/posts for emergency service access if this is deemed necessary but does not seem so after talking to some first responders. I would also include a more effective solution to address drainage issues for the entire area under study, as this has not been adequately addressed. I would also study the pedestrian traffic on Jarvis as it is much much higher than vehicular traffic, and expand the multiuse trail down the full length of Jarvis to Little River to build a safe active transportation route for the MANY bicyclists, families walking baby carriages, walking dogs, and toddlers learning to bike on Jarvis.	10/1/2021 11:48 PM
12	I would not extend Wyandotte to Jarvis, we need more green space.	10/1/2021 9:37 PM
13	a. I would not extend Wyandotte Street to Jarvis Avenue b. Wyandotte Street East pavement width must be reduced to match the existing width East of Banwell Road which is approximately 8.5m as a maximum. If the intent is to discourage traffic from driving through Jarvis than a narrower pavement width is required. This narrower pavement width can still accommodate the bike lanes with other measures to ensure safety between vehicles and cyclists. c. Reduce the multi-use trail along Wyandotte to a sidewalk. If and when the multi use trail is constructed along Wyandotte further west it can be upgraded at that time (20+ years). It serves no purpose on its own a single block. They just built the sidewalks to the west so they won't be replaced anytime soon. d. Location of the east sidewalk along Jarvis may need further evaluation in order to avoid existing trees e. Extension of the multiuse trail southerly along Jarvis serves no purpose at this time as it is isolated; do not build at this time until the other sections are constructed to the East along Dillon. The configuration of the trail impacts the proposed natural drainage swales. f. The traffic calming measure of the islands at Wyandotte and Dillon are a good start however much more traffic calming is required to discourage through traffic. For example, speed humps, raised intersections, etc. This does not create any impediment to traffic wanting to cut through this area in order to get to points beyond. In its current configuration this may cause a few second delay as they roll through the stop sign but they will continue through in order not to detour around. g. More design consideration is required due to the significant change in in elevation of the Wyandotte Road section relative to the existing ground. This was not given its due consideration during the design and construction of the Banwell properties which has negatively impacted the drainage to the Jarvis west properties. Further consideration must be given to the increased elevation of the road along Jarvis from South of Dillon to Riverside Drive to address the minimum ERCA road elevation	10/1/2021 8:13 PM
14	After living in the neighbourhood for more than twenty years, we have witnessed an increase in traffic, and speed, along Dillon leading into Tecumseh. This has led to numerous close calls for children, pedestrians walking dogs and other cars. Any change to the current street structure will surely further increase the traffic flow. Many new families had relocated to the area which means there are more young children. Increased speeding traffic will put these children at a much greater risk. The neighbourhood is home to numerous large, heritage trees which would	10/1/2021 8:01 PM

Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class Environmental Assessment Public Information Centre #2

be put at risk as well as the wildlife that currently survives in the natural wooded area proposed to be destroyed if Wyandotte is extended.

15	I see no benefit to extend Wyandotte one more block, as it does not create a through way, only moves increased traffic onto a long ignored, quiet family street.	10/1/2021 7:51 PM
16	Do not allow Wyandotte to come through as will cause unwanted traffic on Jarvis	10/1/2021 7:48 PM
17	I would like the Wyandotte extension not to go through to Jarvis !!	10/1/2021 7:27 PM
18	All of it	10/1/2021 7:04 PM
19	I do not want Wyandotte extended to Jarvis. I do not want to see this quiet street disrupted by the large increase in traffic that this will obviously cause. Jarvis would be the first stop sign east of the Ouellette and the significant volume of traffic currently handled by Banwell, which is a major artery designed to move such traffic, would then be terminated at Jarvis causing Jarvis traffic volumes to increase drastically. This would significant impact Jarvis in a negative way and be a safety concern.	10/1/2021 5:31 PM
20	I would not extend Wyandotte and have Jarvis completed from the drive to the cul-de-sac at the same time	10/1/2021 5:29 PM
21	Improve all of Jarvis and eliminate Wyandotte street extension	10/1/2021 3:57 PM
22	Close Jarvis at Riverside Drive as to prevent all the new traffic on Jarvis which will now be generated with the extension of Wyandotte	10/1/2021 3:04 PM
23	Wyandotte does not need to connect. The drainage on the west side of Jarvis is terrible. This must be addressed. I have a large property and an unable to build a permanent structure due to outdated zoning laws.	10/1/2021 2:57 PM
24	Dead end Jarvis at Riverside Dr.	10/1/2021 2:46 PM
25	The properties on the west side of Jarvis have 502.5' lots. Since the inception of Banwell and Amalfi, our yards are constantly under water because these new properties are graded so much higher than our properties. We have lost 16 trees so far because of the flooding. We have tried putting drainage down the middle of our yard to no avail because of runoff coming from every direction. If you are going to proceed with the Wyandotte extension, we will have even more runoff to our properties. This needs to be addressed.	10/1/2021 2:44 PM
26	Prohibit the extension of Wyandotte St. E to Jarvis Ave.	10/1/2021 1:27 PM
27	I would like to keep Wyandotte the same as it is today. It is a busy intersection at Banwell but it is great to have the dead end, so it makes it easier to the kids to cross the road to access the school bus or to go to the trails.	10/1/2021 7:22 AM
28	Bringing wyandotte to Jarvis will bring unwanted traffic to the area, along with noise and impact the safety of this quiet community. This is not a good use of taxpayer dollars	9/30/2021 1:13 AM
29	- no vehicle traffic in the proposed Wyandotte extension - pedestrian and bicycle path only - protect as many of the mature trees presently in this area when making this path	9/28/2021 3:04 PM
30	Stop the extension of Wyandotte Street East to Jarvis Avenue.	9/28/2021 7:16 AM
31	I don't agree with your changes to have Wyandotte jog onto Jarvis at all. The original plan 20+ years ago was to cross and continue on not to end at Jarvis	9/28/2021 7:15 AM
32	I would not complete Wyandotte at all. Completing Wyandotte is not necessary or desired and is not a good investment of the city funds.	9/27/2021 10:49 PM
33	aren't bike lanes on both the roadways and multi-use trail redundant?	9/18/2021 2:07 PM
34	LEAVE JARVIS STREET alone. The residents of Jarvis and the surrounding areas have all stated multiple times they DO NOT want the Wyndotte project to go through.	9/1/2021 10:33 PM
35	Add MUT to run along Jarvis from LR Blvd to Riverside Drive (Ganatchio Trail)	8/29/2021 3:10 PM

## Q3 What do you like about the concepts presented?

Answered: 35 Skipped: 2

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Upgrade to Jarvis Avenue.... new pavement, upgraded storm drainage, wider lanes, and speed calming islands on Wyandotte and Dillon.	10/4/2021 7:55 PM
2	The redesign of Jarvis - completed in a coordinated project from North to South, in its entirety. Jarvis residents would prefer to work with our Councillor to have our road redone, at one time, in one piece. The re-design for Jarvis addresses and remedies water drainage issues on Jarvis.	10/4/2021 3:12 PM
3	I like that Jarvis gets upgraded .	10/3/2021 8:15 PM
4	It has a trail for non vehicular traffic. That's all we need.	10/3/2021 8:06 PM
5	The road design concept for Jarvis looks good but the fact that the entire street isn't being completed is a huge disappointment and a waste of money.	10/3/2021 7:58 PM
6	nothing	10/2/2021 4:23 PM
7	New street	10/2/2021 11:31 AM
8	I like the fact that Jarvis ave would finally be repaved so I don't risk twisting my ankle in the dozens of pot holes. Not to mention the embarrassment from guests who visit our street. Also I like the multi trail idea. Ideally it should connect from riverside drive to blue heron.	10/2/2021 9:53 AM
9	The new look of Jarvis with no Wyandotte street	10/2/2021 8:10 AM
10	Good thought re Jarvis. Not as wide as originally proposed. Quiet street so walkers use sidewalk and those on bikes use road.	10/2/2021 4:56 AM
11	The multi use trail for part of Jarvis is on the right track, but needs to be extended as I said above.	10/1/2021 11:48 PM
12	Nothing	10/1/2021 9:37 PM
13	a. The inventive proposal for the road cross section along Jarvis is very good, further detail will be needed to 'make it work' for the drainage between driveways and maintainability of this cross section b. The use of the traffic islands is a good plan but more traffic measures are needed in order to strongly discourage through traffic to areas outside of the neighbouring streets c. The plan to replace the sanitary sewer and construct the storm sewer along Jarvis is a good concept	10/1/2021 8:13 PM
14	Absolutely nothing other than Jarvis being upgraded	10/1/2021 8:01 PM
15	Improving Jarvis drainage which happens without Wyandotte extension.	10/1/2021 7:51 PM
16	Nothing it only benefits Tecumseh residents not Windsor residents	10/1/2021 7:48 PM
17	NOTHING	10/1/2021 7:27 PM
18	The diagram of what Jarvis would look like when the entire road is take into consideration	10/1/2021 7:04 PM
19	Nothing. Please leave this road as is. If it needs to be resurfaced, the residents should advance this cause separately.	10/1/2021 5:31 PM
20	The design of Jarvis was nice.	10/1/2021 5:29 PM
21	I didn't like most things about it but the section of Jarvis being done was acceptable, just not enough of it. I like that the dead end is not being opened, that would have been insane, and the idea of improving the cul de sac in 10 years will be nice...not likely I will get to enjoy it though.	10/1/2021 3:57 PM
22	Not much	10/1/2021 3:04 PM
23	Jarvis is finally being addressed after many decades of neglect whilst the surrounding neighborhoods have benefited from new infrastructure.	10/1/2021 2:57 PM
24	Fix roads & sewers	10/1/2021 2:46 PM
25	I don't like the concept at all. This is only going to add more traffic to a road that is in dire need of repair and upgrades.	10/1/2021 2:44 PM
26	Nothing	10/1/2021 1:27 PM
27	This stretch of road from Wyandotte to Jarvis is not really adding value to the public, so nothing is appealing from the design or need.	10/1/2021 7:22 AM
28	I think the plan for Jarvis is well thought out and meets many of the issues we put forward in 2018. Great that there are no longer plans to open LR or BG. I like that Jarvis won't be as wide as originally planned. Like the idea of the structural soil which will allow parking on grass if bylaw is changed at some point. I like idea of swale to keep road looking rural. Sad that in order to get this though we have to accept the City's proposal to open Wyandotte which will ruin it all!	9/30/2021 1:13 AM
29	Nothing	9/28/2021 3:04 PM

Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class Environmental Assessment Public Information Centre #2

30	Nothing. Concepts presented will not benefit Home owners on Jarvis Street or the anyone in the neighborhood. All/most residents are totally against this and will no longer support the City Official responsible if this goes through.	9/28/2021 7:16 AM
31	Nothing. Leave my street as it is.	9/28/2021 7:15 AM
32	The design and look of Jarvis with flat gutters, structural grass and road width was thoughtful	9/27/2021 10:49 PM
33	fixing the sewer and drainage system, widening the road, expanding transit closer to Tecumseh, Ontario	9/18/2021 2:07 PM
34	NOTHING	9/1/2021 10:33 PM
35	Connectivity with the Wyandotte extension.	8/29/2021 3:10 PM

## Q4 What do you dislike about the concepts presented?

Answered: 36 Skipped: 1

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	The entirety of Jarvis Avenue should be upgraded next year. Completing a portion next year and other portions potentially over 10 years is unacceptable and splits our neighbourhood.	10/4/2021 7:55 PM
2	The potential increase of unwanted, through traffic on Wyandotte, including unwanted noise, from a major influx of traffic. We're also very concerned and dissatisfied with the potential loss of dozens of trees - compromising an important part of the canopy. The current lack of coordination of this project with the North and South portion of Jarvis is undesirable - completing 1/3 of Jarvis does not improve our stree. 2/3's of our residents will get nothing but dangerous traffic for many years as the rest of the project is not in the City's 10 year budget. We will be left with 2/3's of a deficient road that will be forced to handle the Wyandotte traffic north.	10/4/2021 3:12 PM
3	I dislike Wyandotte connecting to Jarvis. I also dislike that Jarvis Ave. isn't going to be entirely upgraded. - widening sidewalks, sidewalks are only 20Yr.s old -widening of roadway should take place on westside of road.	10/3/2021 8:15 PM
4	The fact that it's being discussed at all in this way. It's a waste of money. We don't want it. Repave Jarvis and give us proper drainage. The end.	10/3/2021 8:06 PM
5	Its a lot of money being spent for Wyandotte to go through to Jarvis. Its such a small stretch of road that will only benefit Tecumseh commuters and hurt the Jarvis residents. It's creating many concerns to us residents living here with the loss of many older trees, a huge influx of traffic, unwanted noise and many safety concerns. The fact that the residents are to have to take the Jarvis drainage into our own hands and not Wyandotte.	10/3/2021 7:58 PM
6	Our street won't be resurfaced until???	10/2/2021 4:23 PM
7	New street	10/2/2021 11:31 AM
8	I appreciate the thought and design of the new Jarvis street but the concept of the swales is not the right approach. Gong to look terrible in a couple years when homeowners neglect to cut the grass in the uneven swale. Just look at them south of castle hill. It works on Pentilly because it's on the golf course side. People and government are paying to get rid of swales/ditches.	10/2/2021 9:53 AM
9	Will make the street to busy no need to do this	10/2/2021 9:22 AM
10	This area is used by many biking, walking and jogging, many of them are families who use this street as a quiet nature walk from trails on riverside drive to blue heron. Having a stop sign at Wyandotte on Jarvis brings up many safety concerns fir me. All the extra traffic will not be good fir the North and south sides of the streets that will not be done for 10 years. This extension will change the look of our street in a very negative way and I ask you to please reconsider this project.	10/2/2021 8:10 AM
11	Wyandotte! Allow back yard drainage for W side to connect to storm sewer on Jarvis. If council approves wyandotte (hopefully they don't), S b narrower than presented. Don't need bike lanes, a trail and a sidewalk. Definitely overkill. Also, the trail absolutely does not need to continue on Jarvis (wy to Dillon)	10/2/2021 4:56 AM
12	I do not support the extension of Wyandotte for vehicular traffic. There has been no valid reason that the residents have heard for the extension of Wyandotte through Jarvis for vehicular traffic, and it does not provide any benefit to the residents of Jarvis or Dillon. It will add a high amount of unnecessary traffic to a quiet neighborhood. It is not an appropriate or necessary collector to Tecumseh. Little River and Riverside Drive are already equipped for this traffic, as well as other larger surrounding thoroughfares. It is not a responsible use of funds.	10/1/2021 11:48 PM
13	Our tax money should be wisely used for maintenance of streets in dire need of repair and not to destroy the little green space that is left around us.	10/1/2021 9:37 PM
14	a. Wyandotte Street East pavement width must be reduced to match the existing width East of Banwell Road which is approximately 8.5m as a maximum. If the intent is to discourage traffic from driving through Jarvis than a narrower pavement width is required. This narrower pavement width can still accommodate the bike lanes with other measures to ensure safety between vehicles and cyclists. b. Reduce the mutli-use trail along Wyandotte to a sidewalk. If and when the multi use trail is constructed along Wyandotte further west it can be upgraded at that time (20+ years). It serves no purpose on its own a single block. They just built the sidewalks to the west so they won't be replaced anytime soon. c. Location of the east sidewalk along Jarvis may need further evaluation in order to avoid existing trees d. Extension of the multiuse trail along Jarvis serves no purpose at this time as it is isolated; do not build at this time until the other sections are constructed to the east along Dillon. The extension to the south side of Dillon doesn't make sense as the multiuse trail does not exist on the south side of Dillon. The configuration of the trail impacts the proposed natural drainage swales. e. The traffic calming measure of the islands at Wyandotte and Dillon are a good start however much more traffic calming is required to discourage through traffic. For example, speed humps, raised intersections, etc. This does not create any impediment to traffic wanting to cut through this area in order to get to points beyond. In its current configuration this may cause a few second delay as they roll through the stop sign but they will continue through in order not to detour around. f. More design consideration is required due to the significant change in in	10/1/2021 8:13 PM

Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class Environmental Assessment Public Information Centre #2

elevation of the Wyandotte Road section relative to the existing ground. This was not given its due consideration during the design and construction of the Banwell properties which has negatively impacted the drainage to the Jarvis west properties. Further consideration must be given to the increased elevation of the road along Jarvis from South of Dillon to Riverside Drive to address the minimum ERCA road elevation

15	A – risk to the natural treed areas and wildlife B- risk to children getting on buses and playing in the area as increased speeding traffic C- decrease of property values due to increase of traffic and noise levels	10/1/2021 8:01 PM
16	Safety issues created by the extension and increased traffic have not been properly addressed.	10/1/2021 7:51 PM
17	The fact that you want a street like Jarvis to have more traffic flow. The street requires an enormous amount of repairs and can not handle anymore traffic. We already pay taxes on amenities that we don't have and you will likely increase our taxes to accommodate Wyandotte	10/1/2021 7:48 PM
18	EVERYTHING	10/1/2021 7:27 PM
19	Bringing Wyandotte threw to Jarvis has not positive effect for the area	10/1/2021 7:04 PM
20	Everything.	10/1/2021 5:31 PM
21	Wyandotte is not needed. It will bring unwanted traffic to the neighbourhood and will be mostly Tecumseh residents that benefit.	10/1/2021 5:29 PM
22	I feel that the heavy flow of traffic will pose many safety issues between the proposed Wyandotte and Castle Hill. The residents on Jarvis do not benefit from having Wyandotte opened, only commuters travelling east and west do. We have 3 access points from the street to go anywhere we need to go. Why divert such a heavy traffic flow from main arteries to smaller roads in highly residential areas? Why not spend the money allocated in this 10 year old plan to actually improve the entire street. This plan will improve a third of our street and the rest will be left as is for 10 years!	10/1/2021 3:57 PM
23	By extending Wyandotte it will turn Jarvis into a main conduit from Wyandotte to Riverside Drive	10/1/2021 3:04 PM
24	The Wyandotte street extension is a waste of our hard earned taxes.	10/1/2021 2:57 PM
25	Want dead end Jarvis at Riverside it can not handle the traffic	10/1/2021 2:46 PM
26	Jarvis is such an underdeveloped road that any added traffic will be detrimental to our street. You're assuming that all Wyandotte traffic will head south to Dillon. They will also travel north down Jarvis to Riverside and it has been stated that Jarvis north of Wyandotte and this part of Jarvis is not getting upgrades Also, all you have to do is sit there for a few hours any Friday or Saturday night (Wyandotte at Banwell) to understand the concern for speeding. It is absolutely ridiculous. With extending Wyandotte to Jarvis, it will only take one distracted or impaired driver to end up in the living room of the homes at this intersection. This has been noted at every meeting and is brushed off like "it won't happen to me" mentality. It can and I can guarantee, it will	10/1/2021 2:44 PM
27	The suggestion of extending Wyandotte to Jarvis.	10/1/2021 1:27 PM
28	This stretch of road is not really adding value to the public, for the exception of some developers that have land in this area. You are bringing traffic from a main road to the middle of the neighborhood and then forcing the traffic to Jarvis street which is not designed to handle that traffic. That traffic is design to go down Green Park (North of Wyandotte) to Riverside or Banwell (south of Wyandotte) to Little River or Tecumseh rd. Also, it will make the Wyandotte and Banwell intersection even more dangers for kids, who are required to cross that road to access school buses or go to the trails	10/1/2021 7:22 AM
29	Wyandotte is not required, what the city is proposing as a problem is not really a problem for us on Jarvis at all. Back yard drainage can be solved once new trunk sewers are on Jarvis. There are no issues with emergency vehicles getting to us on Jarvis. Although I'm sure another access point is good, not having it is not an issue. Very concerned about the number of trees that will be removed on the right-a-way as well as on Jarvis. Certainly even a 4 foot width could damage tree roots and end up killing trees. Maybe a plan to actually remove some?? Not known at this time. Jarvis needs re-work and it definitely does not make sense to update one section of Jarvis and leave the rest as is for the next 10 years.	9/30/2021 1:13 AM
30	- Jarvis Avenue will loose the rural setting we presently have and the reason we purchased our homes on this street. - mature trees on the East side of Jarvis will be lost - traffic will increase exponentially - Increased traffic creates many safety issues - no benefit to Jarvis Ave. residence - there are barely any trees if any on the West side of Jarvis however your plan is to widen the road on both side which will damage/kill all the beautiful mature trees we have on the East side. - even if this has been in City Plans for many years plans can be changed as they have in the past with other city projects - these allocated funds would be best spent on one of the many other projects Ward 7 needs such as traffic lights and/or round about at Banwell and Little River. Turning lane added on McKugh turning North onto Banwell.	9/28/2021 3:04 PM
31	Everything, including property lose, decrease in property values, widening of the street, destruction of our aged trees, amount of traffic that would be increased on our street,	9/28/2021 7:16 AM
32	All of it	9/28/2021 7:15 AM
33	Completing the Wyandotte extension is not a good investment of City funds The Wyandotte portion does not address any poor drainage for Jarvis residents Wyandotte does not address the primary concerns for safety	9/27/2021 10:49 PM
34	Nothing shown about proposed future bus routes.	9/18/2021 2:07 PM



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class Environmental Assessment Public  
Information Centre #2

35	Everything	9/1/2021 10:33 PM
36	No MUT on Jarvis.	8/29/2021 3:10 PM

## Q5 Any other comments?

Answered: 35 Skipped: 2

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Individuals living on Jarvis have individual interests regarding components of the upgrade. Any strategy to dissuade higher volume of regular use of Jarvis should be implemented. The main objective of the Wyandotte extension as presented was to satisfy safety concerns for fire and security. Encouraging traffic from Riverside Drive to use the Wyandotte extension should be discouraged. Thank you.	10/4/2021 7:55 PM
2	Banwell is best designed to handle the southbound traffic that will be diverted to Jarvis/Dillon, Jarvis/Castle Hill. Greenpark is best designed to handle northbound traffic that would be diverted to Jarvis. Little River is designed and intended for that purpose. It should not be incumbent on Windsorites to bear the burden of bad design decisions made by the Town of Tecumseh, which designed Dillon to be their collector and have Little River stopping 2 blocks past Lesperance.	10/4/2021 3:12 PM
3	I believe that connecting Jarvis will increase traffic on the street. And is a safety concern with numerous children in the area The new development to the east of Jarvis has increased traffic since it was built.	10/3/2021 8:15 PM
4	The project serves Riverside Drive residents to make their street quieter, and ours busier. Riverside Drive residents bought on the Drive. We bought on Jarvis. No need to flip it. Pushing Wyandotte through serves them and Tecumseh. If was decided years ago it wasn't worth the money to to do this for essentially Tecumseh residents. Just because the person heading the project is a Tecumseh Councillor and wants this done should have no impact on this. In fact, he should be removed altogether based on the conflict. I can assure, if you continue to waste money on this project I will do everything I canto bring light to the shadyness of it.	10/3/2021 8:06 PM
5	For many decades now this street has been a quiet street that people love because of its old in nature feeling. The low flow of traffic makes parents feel safe with their kids being able to still play on the street and the huge trees that have been around forever. The Wyandotte extension is truly unwanted by all people living on the street. When we tell people we live here people know this street as a quiet cul de sac street that is adored by many many people .	10/3/2021 7:58 PM
6	As a long term resident I am very afraid the way our committee has turned all this for a few residents will gain. Why can't Jarvis open to Little River?	10/2/2021 4:23 PM
7	We need a new street. I've lived.on Jarvis since 1981 I remember when the tar and chipped the road. Better then filling the pot holes that's for sure.	10/2/2021 11:31 AM
8	I'm not sure who is in the city's head for wanting to get Wyandotte to go through to Jarvis. Who is this benefiting? Nobody on Jarvis would want it and I'm sure people on banwell, Dillion and many other streets wouldn't want the increased traffic for ZERO benefit. Your offer for sewers does nothing for Jarvis because the sewers are newer and nothing proposed solves the west side of Jarvis backyard drainage issues. Taking down all the trees and wildlife to put more concrete and asphalt is a terrible environmental idea considering. The misplaced wildlife. We're trying to go green and be environmentally friendly. Less cars, less emissions, encourage walking and biking. The whole plan definitely needs rethinking. Please rethink the plans for the sake of the environment/wildlife, our kids playing in the streets, unnecessary taxpayers costs for zero benefit among other reasons.	10/2/2021 9:53 AM
9	Come have a drive down Jarvis and see it's beauty and peacefulness let's keep it that way	10/2/2021 8:10 AM
10	Putting a multi use train on right a way would allow city to meet goal of meeting re walkable/bikeable neighbourhoods. Work design around trees so no need to remove any or very few. Put a few benches out there. Fix Jarvis (end to end) and allow residents to connect back yard to Jarvis for drainage. Like the idea of the grass witj metal to protect ruts.	10/2/2021 4:56 AM
11	Please consider how Jarvis has always been and continues to be a safe, quiet, pedestrian haven from the Ganatcho Trail to Blue Heron trail, and reconsider the extension of Wyandotte.	10/1/2021 11:48 PM
12	It seems to us that our cities in order to get maximum profit are creating thousands of small lots where houses almost touch each other forgetting about principals of living in harmony with nature. And then we have audacity to complain about global warming and mental and physical wellness.	10/1/2021 9:37 PM
13	a. Do nothing other than an upgrade to the pavement structure, drainage and sewers on Jarvis. b. The need for traffic calming measures needs to be increased and expanded. The traffic report indicates an order of magnitude increase of traffic on Jarvis which is unacceptable with no mention of attempting to mitigate the impact. The traffic island is not enough to address this matter. c. The Noise Study indicates a significant increase in noise levels with no mention of attempting to mitigate the impact. d. Do not extend Wyandotte to Jarvis i. The extension takes a traffic issue and pushes into a neighbourhood which currently doesn't have an issue ii. The Jarvis neighbourhood will become the traffic calming measure and not in a positive way. The offset created at Jarvis will create numerous issues not the least of which is a safety problem. This issue was brought up during the original Lakeview/East Riverside planning process and the City decided not to address it at that time when it would have been much more economical and effective . Therefore the neighbourhood should not have to suffer because the City was negligent in getting ahead of the potential problem. iii. The original planning process recommended the offset of Banwell at Wyandotte in order breakup the flow of traffic and not create an extended straight corridor not only along Wyandotte but also along	10/1/2021 8:13 PM

Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class Environmental Assessment Public Information Centre #2

Banwell yet this report is recommending the direct linkage to Jarvis and along Jarvis e. The recommendation to extend the arterial from Banwell to Jarvis (9.5m width) is unacceptable as this completely disrupts the existing neighbourhood dynamic especially the large country like setting that is unique to this neighbourhood including the homes along Banwell which enjoy the quiet open space in their backyards. f. There are still negative impacts on the existing neighbourhood with no mention on mitigation measures. Those include traffic quantities and noise levels g. The extension of Wyandotte will not create any improvements to the surrounding areas h. Emergency response times will not be improved (no risk reduction) and should not be used as an excuse to push through this proposal i. There are numerous other areas in the city which require more significant improvements and these funds should go towards those projects before consideration on this project which will only create negative impacts on the existing neighbourhood. Improving the Banwell at Little River intersection to a roundabout would improve traffic flow in the area for the streets that were planned to accommodate it. This would be a better use of the funds. j. Based on all of these items brought forward I completely disagree with the recommendation to extend Wyandotte Street East to Jarvis Avenue k. Further specifics should be mentioned to address the rear yard drainage issues created by the lack of proper design considerations with the new subdivisions to the west of Jarvis avenue. This has negatively impacted the neighbourhood. Further consideration is required to provide the rear yard drainage to the Jarvis West properties. If that is through private drain connections along Jarvis then that should be stated. l. The plan to construct Wyandotte to Jarvis and Jarvis from Wyandotte to Beverly Glen will end up dumping a significant increase in traffic onto the section of Jarvis from Wyandotte to Riverside Drive without any upgrade to the road. This section would need to be a local improvement which would not be moved forward in the next 10 to 20 years. It would be inexcusable to dump that traffic onto the local improvement project.

14	This proposal has been brought forward several times. The need to accommodate the commuter traffic from Tecumseh is not the responsibility of the City of Windsor. The additional speeding traffic, noise and disruption to the naturalized green space is concerning to those of us who have lived in the neighbourhood for a very long time. Drivers are able to readily access Little River and Riverside Drive. These routes allow them to access Tecumseh. There are no retail outlets, restaurants or public access spaces that would be disadvantaged by this decision. The residence and wildlife are the only elements being disadvantaged.	10/1/2021 8:01 PM
15	Wyandotte extension does little to affect Windsor to Tecumseh traffic at a significant cost to taxpayers not to mention the damage to the existing natural environment (fields/trees/animals).	10/1/2021 7:51 PM
16	Stop Wyandotte from coming through!!	10/1/2021 7:48 PM
17	We feel that the Wyandotte extension is an unnecessary project And would really only service the town of Tecumseh. By agreeing to this project and bringing Wyandotte through Only a small portion of Jarvis would be redone and this would not be fair to the rest of the residents living on Jarvis not to mention the fact that by letting this project happen and Wyandotte go through It will just create more traffic with speeding vehicles and create an unsafe environment for children at play,pedestrians and walking or riding bikes to the trail. Jarvis Street is in a flood zone and our street should be considered priority to be redone over this Wyandotte extension!!	10/1/2021 7:27 PM
18	I do not support the Wyandotte road, The upgrades the the Jarvis street I support. Many people use this road via walking and biking from Riverside drive to Blue Heron, bringing this road through would cause many safety issues for those walking and biking but also resident living on the street driving up to Dhillon or Castle Hill with Just a stop sign to stop traffic coming from Wyandotte to Jarvis. We purchased our home due to the fact that it was quiet street with little traffic. Opening up the road and only upgrading a portion of it seem silly with all that traffic now coming down to the north and south end on a one car lane. WE have a beautiful street with many mature trees and lost of wildlife that will be changed with the extention. To bring this small section of road for the cost that it is costing seems to be a wast of our tax dollars that I am sure can be used in other areas. Thank you for understanding how we all feel in keeping out street a one of a kind in WIndsor and one everyone wants to use for their leisure activities	10/1/2021 7:04 PM
19	Placing a quick resurfacing on the existing Jarvis roadway would degrade quickly under the significant traffic increase just require additional work in a few years.	10/1/2021 5:31 PM
20	This concept of bringing wyandotte through one more block is a poor investment of our tax dollars. It will cause more dangerous and unwanted problems than the proposal sets out to solve. I don't see how wyandotte solves any drainage issues for Jarvis residents. Fixing Jarvis helps Jarvis residents with drainage.	10/1/2021 5:29 PM
21	This plan is not for the residents of Jarvis except for the area from Wyandotte to Castle Hill and with the increase in traffic flow, no additional drainage and the numerous safety issues, I do not think its a good design from any perspective.	10/1/2021 3:57 PM
22	Traffic will proceed to the end of Wyandotte at Jarvis and not make the jog to Dillion. They will turn up Jarvis to Riverside Drive thereby increasing traffic substantially and creating a safety issue. This is evident as traffic currently takes Wyandotte to basically the end now and turns north on Greenpark. All that traffic will now end up on Jarvis which is unacceptable	10/1/2021 3:04 PM
23	Fix the road and drainage issues. Do the entire street not piece meal	10/1/2021 2:57 PM
24	Leave Jarvis as is everyone is fine with it do not need more traffic.	10/1/2021 2:46 PM
25	We have so many other roads in this city that are in great need of repair. Take care of what's broken before moving onto to a project that isn't necessary.	10/1/2021 2:44 PM
26	The extension of Wyandotte to Jarvis would deteriorate the quiet neighbourhood we presently have. The increase of traffic would be detrimental to the children that live in the vacinity of Jarvis, Dillon, Castle Hill, Whistler and Cora Greenwood. Banwell is structurally set up to	10/1/2021 1:27 PM

Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class Environmental Assessment Public Information Centre #2

handle this flow of traffic. The cost to expand Wyandotte is not an effective use of taxpayers money. This money could be used more effectively elsewhere.

27	I have 3 children under 11 that cross Banwell rd at Wyandotte to access their school bus and this is a difficult task as is today (only 2 main paths of traffic). And by extending Wyandotte this task is going to be even more dangers and difficult for the kids (3 main paths of traffic). As a resident in the area for 11 years I do not see the need to build the road between Jarvis and Wyandotte. This new road is leading to an area that has not grown for years so why the need to bring traffic to this area and why spend the tax money on it. If you listen to some of our Windsor Council town meeting with regards to this topic, the question was asked directly by Councillor Gignac if this is a wise investment of city funds, the residents clearly do not seem to want Wyandotte. Winterton avoids the question entirely and echoes the only response ever given by city officials in that Wyandotte was on city planning maps for many, many years. Plans can be changes and there are more important roads that could be build that would help the community better that this area, like Clover. Spend people tax money wisely. Thanks.	10/1/2021 7:22 AM
28	The city has a mandate for more biking, walking paths in the city, and if the city wants to use this right-a-way property, I feel they could use this property to bring a multi-use trail from Banwell to Jarvis. No vehicle traffic. This would allow folks that try to bike and walk through this right-a-way already to have a proper path (versus getting part way from Jarvis to Banwell and ending up knee deep in mud on wet days). Easy access to wyandotte and another connection (besides Riverside Drive) to the Ganatchio Trail. The trees back there could be maintained and maybe a few park benches. Maybe even a small parkette and a small dog park. These are all features that residents today would get much use of and much pleasure. The influx of Wyandotte traffic would totally ruin this area and change the current use of Jarvis. Traffic would prevent all the bikers (from all over this area) that use Jarvis because they can ride on the street due to minimal traffic. Even walkers (and there is many) walk on the sidewalk and on the street to keep distance. This is a gem of a street and no matter what you do to Jarvis, the traffic brought in by Wyandotte will totally destroy our environment!	9/30/2021 1:13 AM
29	Where did our species at risk go from the previous study? Very odd that Wyandotte no longer has any only the Beverly Glen area. The species at risk on our job site have never upped and moved else where....	9/28/2021 3:04 PM
30	There are other areas in the city that this money could be better well spent.	9/28/2021 7:16 AM
31	Go back to the original plans from what riverside had intended. OR Do Nothing at all!!!!!!	9/28/2021 7:15 AM
32	A Jarvis improvement fixes Jarvis issues. It gives residents an opportunity to take the drainage issues into their own hands, not Wyandotte. Safety is still a major concern with having Wyandotte cars coming to a stop at our road and it will bring unwanted traffic to the neighborhood. Cars will speed on Jarvis in both North and South directions. Completing Wyandotte will still best serve Tecumseh residents, not Windsorites. Greenpark is best designed to handle terminating Wyandotte traffic North. Banwell is best designed to handle terminating Wyandotte travellers South. The lack of coordination of this project with the North and South portion of Jarvis is undesirable. Completing 1/3rd of Jarvis does not improve our street at all. 2/3rds of our residents will get nothing but dangerous traffic for many, many years as the rest of the project is not in the City's 10 year budget. We will be left with 2/3rds of a deficient road that will be forced to handle the Wyandotte traffic North. If the city must retain the option for Wyandotte in the future, a better solution would be to do the entirety of Jarvis from Riverside Dr. to Little River immediately as a stand-alone project with a design concept similar to the proposed improvement with the allocated funds, not a piecemeal project if and only if we allow the city to dump Wyandotte onto our road. The City could then still have Wyandotte be a potential 10+ year budget project to revisit in 2031. There will be dozens of lost trees and the destruction of wildlife habitat and unwanted noise from the major influx of traffic. The extension of Wyandotte creates more problems than this EA project sets out to solve.	9/27/2021 10:49 PM
33	My only concern is transit. The Crosstown 2 used to head east on Riverside to Sandpoint beach for those of us in Windsor who count on public transit to visit local beaches. This was removed & #2 redirected down Riverdale making Sandpoint Beach & amenities inaccessible to disabled, elderly and low income citizens that count on public transportation to get to areas we would love to visit more often - like public parks, trails, beaches and other amenities. There has been no information provided how to better link up Windsor's transit with east Windsor to accommodate all citizens.	9/18/2021 2:07 PM
34	98% of the residents of Jarvis and the surrounding streets have voted against the Wyndotte project to go through. It's shameful that the city continues to ignore the residents of Jarvis and the surrounding areas.	9/1/2021 10:33 PM
35	No.	8/29/2021 3:10 PM

COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class Environmental Assessment Public Information Centre #2

Name: Gayle Huang  
Address: 11855 Dillon  
(Mailing Email)  
Phone #: \_\_\_\_\_  
(optional)

Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the exception of personal information all comments will become part of the public record.

1. Are you satisfied with the proposed designs for Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue?

Very Satisfied

Dissatisfied

Neither Satisfied nor Dissatisfied

Satisfied

**Very Dissatisfied**

2. What design features would you change, if anything?

\*

Would not extend Wyandotte to Jarvis  
walking or biking path - not a driving  
road. - safe for families

3. What do you like about the concepts presented?

A-  
B-  
C-

4. What do you dislike about the concepts presented?

A-  
B-  
C-

5. Any other comments?

\*

Wyandotte will bring additional traffic to our neighbourhood **yes**

It will impact our safety **yes yes**

It will bring unwanted noise **yes**

As a taxpayer in the City of Windsor and resident in the Jarvis neighbourhood, I feel this is not a good use of city funds

**Y. Huang**

COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class  
Environmental Assessment Public Information Centre #2

Name: Svetlana Georgieva  
Address: 11871 Dillon Dr., Windsor  
(Mailing /email) ON  
Phone #: \_\_\_\_\_  
(optional)

Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act.  
With the exception of personal information all comments will become part of the public record.

1. Are you satisfied with the proposed designs for Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue?

Very Satisfied

Dissatisfied

Neither Satisfied nor Dissatisfied

Satisfied

Very  
Dissatisfied

2. What design features would you change, if anything?

\* Would not extend Wyandotte to Jarvis

3. What do you like about the concepts presented?

A -  
B -  
C -

4. What do you dislike about the concepts presented?

A -  
B -  
C -

5. Any other comments?

\*  
Wyandotte will bring additional traffic to our neighbourhood

It will impact our safety

It will bring unwanted noise

As a taxpayer in the City of Windsor and resident in the Jarvis neighbourhood, I feel this is not a good use of city funds

*S. Georgieva*

COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class  
Environmental Assessment Public Information Centre #2

Name: Anne Lockbaum  
Address: 11895 Dillon Dr  
(Mailing Address)  
Phone #: 519.739.1526  
(optional)

Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act.  
With the exception of personal information all comments will become part of the public record.

1. Are you satisfied with the proposed designs for Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue?

Very Satisfied


Dissatisfied

Neither Satisfied nor Dissatisfied

Satisfied

Very  
Dissatisfied

2. What design features would you change, if anything?

 Would not extend Wyandotte to Jarvis

3. What do you like about the concepts presented?

A-  
B-  
C-

4. What do you dislike about the concepts presented?

A-  
B-  
C-

5. Any other comments?

Wyandotte will bring additional traffic to our neighbourhood

It will impact our safety

It will bring unwanted noise

  
As a taxpayer in the City of Windsor and resident in the Jarvis neighbourhood, I feel this is not a good use of city funds

COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class Environmental Assessment Public Information Centre #2


Name: SAM YU  
Address: 11858 BILLEN DR - W.  
(Mailing Address)  
Phone #: \_\_\_\_\_  
(optional)

Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the exception of personal information all comments will become part of the public record.

1. Are you satisfied with the proposed designs for Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue?

Very Satisfied		Dissatisfied
	Neither Satisfied nor Dissatisfied	
Satisfied		Very Dissatisfied

2. What design features would you change, if anything?

 Would not extend Wyandotte to Jarvis

3. What do you like about the concepts presented?

A -  
B -  
C -

4. What do you dislike about the concepts presented?

A -  
B -  
C -


5. Any other comments?

Wyandotte will bring additional traffic to our neighbourhood

It will impact our safety

It will bring unwanted noise

As a taxpayer in the City of Windsor and resident in the Jarvis neighbourhood, I feel this is not a good use of city funds







COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class  
Environmental Assessment Public Information Centre #2

Name: IRAN KOOZAKI

Address: 1185 D.L.W DR  
(Mailing Email)

Phone #: \_\_\_\_\_  
(optional)

Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act.  
With the exception of personal information all comments will become part of the public record.

1. Are you satisfied with the proposed designs for Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue?

Very Satisfied		Dissatisfied
	Neither Satisfied nor Dissatisfied	
Satisfied		Very Dissatisfied

2. What design features would you change, if anything?

Would not extend Wyandotte to Jarvis



3. What do you like about the concepts presented?

- A-
- B-
- C-

4. What do you dislike about the concepts presented?

- A-
- B-
- C-

5. Any other comments?

Wyandotte will bring additional traffic to our neighbourhood

It will impact our safety

It will bring unwanted noise

As a taxpayer in the City of Windsor and resident in the Jarvis neighbourhood, I feel this is not a good use of city funds



Iran Koozaki



COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class  
Environmental Assessment Public Information Centre #2

Name: GREG NOSTKIENICZ  
Address: 11714 DILLON DR  
(Mailing format)  
Phone #: (519) 567-2279  
(optional)

Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act.  
With the exception of personal information all comments will become part of the public record.

1. Are you satisfied with the proposed designs for Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue?

Very Satisfied


Dissatisfied

Neither Satisfied nor Dissatisfied

Satisfied

Very  
Dissatisfied

2. What design features would you change, if anything?

 Would not extend Wyandotte to Jarvis

3. What do you like about the concepts presented?

A -

B -

C -


4. What do you dislike about the concepts presented?

A -

B -

C -


5. Any other comments?

 Wyandotte will bring additional traffic to our neighbourhood

It will impact our safety

It will bring unwanted noise

As a taxpayer in the City of Windsor and resident in the Jarvis neighbourhood, I feel this is not a good use of city funds



COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class  
Environmental Assessment Public Information Centre #2

Name: Imad George  
Address: 11850 Dillon  
(Mailing Address)  
Phone #: \_\_\_\_\_  
(optional)

Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act.  
With the exception of personal information all comments will become part of the public record.

1. Are you satisfied with the proposed designs for Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue?

Very Satisfied		Dissatisfied
	Neither Satisfied nor Dissatisfied	
Satisfied		Very Dissatisfied

2. What design features would you change, if anything?

Would not extend Wyandotte to Jarvis

3. What do you like about the concepts presented?

A -  
B -  
C -

4. What do you dislike about the concepts presented?

A -  
B -  
C -

5. Any other comments?

Wyandotte will bring additional traffic to our neighbourhood

It will impact our safety

It will bring unwanted noise

As a taxpayer in the City of Windsor and resident in the Jarvis neighbourhood, I feel this is not a good use of city funds

*Imad George*

COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class  
Environmental Assessment Public Information Centre #2

Name: Mariya Pop.  
Address: 546 Mc TAVUE  
(Mailing Address)  
Phone #: 519-739-1602.  
(optional)

Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act.  
With the exception of personal information all comments will become part of the public record.

1. Are you satisfied with the proposed designs for Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue?

Very Satisfied		Dissatisfied
	Neither Satisfied nor Dissatisfied	
Satisfied		Very Dissatisfied

2. What design features would you change, if anything?

\* Would not extend Wyandotte to Jarvis

3. What do you like about the concepts presented?

A-  
B-  
C-

4. What do you dislike about the concepts presented?

A-  
B-  
C-

5. Any other comments?

Wyandotte will bring additional traffic to our neighbourhood

It will impact our safety

It will bring unwanted noise

As a taxpayer in the City of Windsor and resident in the Jarvis neighbourhood, I feel this is not a good use of city funds

*Pop,*

COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class  
Environmental Assessment Public Information Centre #2

Name: Leslie Frattolillo  
Address: 525 McTigue  
(Mailing Address)  
Phone #: 519-735-8224  
(optional)

Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act.  
With the exception of personal information all comments will become part of the public record.

1. Are you satisfied with the proposed designs for Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue?

Very Satisfied

Dissatisfied

Neither Satisfied nor Dissatisfied

Satisfied

Very  
Dissatisfied

2. What design features would you change, if anything?

Would not extend Wyandotte to Jarvis

3. What do you like about the concepts presented?

A-

B-

C-

4. What do you dislike about the concepts presented?

A-

B-

C-

5. Any other comments?

Wyandotte will bring additional traffic to our neighbourhood

It will impact our safety

It will bring unwanted noise

As a taxpayer in the City of Windsor and resident in the Jarvis neighbourhood, I feel this is not a good use of city funds

COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class Environmental Assessment Public Information Centre #2

Name: Rachel Harris  
Address: 11675 Dillon Drive  
(Mailing /email)  
Phone #: 519 995 2669  
(optional)

Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the exception of personal information all comments will become part of the public record.

1. Are you satisfied with the proposed designs for Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue?

Very Satisfied

Dissatisfied

Neither Satisfied nor Dissatisfied

Satisfied

Very Dissatisfied

2. What design features would you change, if anything?

Would not extend Wyandotte to Jarvis

3. What do you like about the concepts presented?

A -  
B -  
C -

4. What do you dislike about the concepts presented?

A -  
B -  
C -

5. Any other comments?

Wyandotte will bring additional traffic to our neighbourhood

It will impact our safety

It will bring unwanted noise

As a taxpayer in the City of Windsor and resident in the Jarvis neighbourhood, I feel this is not a good use of city funds

R Harris

COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class  
Environmental Assessment Public Information Centre #2

Name: JUDY & PAUL CHANDLER  
Address: 570 McTAGUE CT., WINDSOR N9A1L3  
(Mailing label)  
Phone #: 519-991-2068  
(optional)

Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act.  
With the exception of personal information all comments will become part of the public record.

1. Are you satisfied with the proposed designs for Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue?

Very Satisfied

Dissatisfied

Neither Satisfied nor Dissatisfied

Satisfied

Very  
Dissatisfied

2. What design features would you change, if anything?

Would not extend Wyandotte to Jarvis

3. What do you like about the concepts presented?

A-

B-

C-

4. What do you dislike about the concepts presented?

A-

B-

C-


5. Any other comments?

Wyandotte will bring additional traffic to our neighbourhood

It will impact our safety

It will bring unwanted noise

As a taxpayer in the City of Windsor and resident in the Jarvis neighbourhood, I feel this is not a good use of city funds





COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class Environmental Assessment Public Information Centre #2

Name: Louis Vibjar  
Address: 549 McTague Cr  
(Mailing Address)  
Phone #: 519-980-0892  
(optional)

Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the exception of personal information all comments will become part of the public record.

1. Are you satisfied with the proposed designs for Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue?

Very Satisfied

Dissatisfied

Neither Satisfied nor Dissatisfied

Satisfied

Very Dissatisfied

2. What design features would you change, if anything?

Would not extend Wyandotte to Jarvis

3. What do you like about the concepts presented?

A-

B-

C-

4. What do you dislike about the concepts presented?

A-

B-

C-

5. Any other comments?

Wyandotte will bring additional traffic to our neighbourhood

It will impact our safety

It will bring unwanted noise

As a taxpayer in the City of Windsor and resident in the Jarvis neighbourhood, I feel this is not a good use of city funds

COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class Environmental Assessment Public Information Centre #2

Name: Kashyn Gillis  
Address: 536 Jarvis Ave.  
(Mailing /email)  
Phone #: 519 980 7076  
(optional)

Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the exception of personal information all comments will become part of the public record.

1. Are you satisfied with the proposed designs for Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue?

Very Satisfied

Dissatisfied

Neither Satisfied nor Dissatisfied

Satisfied

Very Dissatisfied

2. What design features would you change, if anything?

I would not like Wyandotte to come through we will lose so many trees, our road can not handle the traffic, and the North side of Jarvis has lots of young kids that do not need to deal with speeding cars when they

3. What do you like about the concepts presented?

- A. Nothing
- B. Don't Do It
- C. It benefits NO ONE

race to Riverside Dr. after realizing they can not go straight through

4. What do you dislike about the concepts presented?

- A. Everything
- B. heightened traffic
- C. road is not equipt to handle it

5. Any other comments?

Putting Wyandotte through to Jarvis does not benefit anyone, cars can travel one more block to turn on to Jarvis, down two houses and then turn on to Dillion It is pointless. Cars will redirect to Riverside dr. and race there. The road needs to be entirely fixed, sidewalks and street lights would be nice. Thanks.

COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class  
Environmental Assessment Public Information Centre #2

Name: CLIFTON  
Address: 558 JARVIS AVE WINDSOR N8P1G9  
(Mailing /email)  
Phone #: \_\_\_\_\_  
(optional)

Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act.  
With the exception of personal information all comments will become part of the public record.

1. Are you satisfied with the proposed designs for Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue?

Very Satisfied

Dissatisfied

Neither Satisfied nor Dissatisfied

Satisfied

~~Very~~  
~~Dissatisfied~~  
Very  
Dissatisfied

2. What design features would you change, if anything?

*The whole project!*

3. What do you like about the concepts presented?

A- *NOTHING!*  
B-  
C-

4. What do you dislike about the concepts presented?

A- *opening Wyandotte to Jarvis*  
B- *loss of trees*  
C- *increase traffic flow*

5. Any other comments?

*Just fix the road (JARVIS)  
Tecumseh residents already have 3-4  
SAY-WEST streets to use Riverside, Tecumseh,  
Expressway and Little River.  
The money that would be wasted would  
be better used elsewhere.*

COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class Environmental Assessment Public Information Centre #2

Name: Ryan Bentley  
Address: 1086 Jarvis Ave.  
(Mailing /email)  
Phone #: 519-566-6983  
(optional)

Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the exception of personal information all comments will become part of the public record.

1. Are you satisfied with the proposed designs for Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue?

Very Satisfied

Dissatisfied

Neither Satisfied nor Dissatisfied

Satisfied

Very Dissatisfied

2. What design features would you change, if anything?

Upgrade the entire street of Jarvis  
Eliminate the extension of Wyandotte

3. What do you like about the concepts presented?

A- Visually attractive

B-

C-

4. What do you dislike about the concepts presented?

A- Not a necessary project. Only benefits Tecumseh residents.  
B- Lack of safety consideration applied. Extremely dangerous  
C- for the residents of Jarvis. Dozens of young kids live on Jarvis.

5. Any other comments?

① City's funds would be better used on projects that will actually benefit the residents of Windsor, not Tecumseh.  
② The loss of trees & increased noise pollution is not ideally for this quiet neighbourhood.

③ In the last City Capital Works Budget meeting, several of the Councillor's questions were not answered by the Engineer. Why? Please do not waste our \$\$ on this project.

COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class  
Environmental Assessment Public Information Centre #2

Name: A. TORON

Address: 1100 JARVIS  
(Mailing format)

Phone #: 519-735-2914  
(optional)

Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act.  
With the exception of personal information all comments will become part of the public record.

1. Are you satisfied with the proposed designs for Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue?

Very Satisfied

Dissatisfied

Neither Satisfied nor Dissatisfied

Satisfied

Very  
Dissatisfied

2. What design features would you change, if anything?

So Wyandotte would end at Jarvis - the jog over to Dillon is an accident waiting to happen. Wyandotte could have been done before all the development between Jarvis & Tecumseh Town.

3. What do you like about the concepts presented? The city messed up years ago.

A-  
B-  
C-

4. What do you dislike about the concepts presented?

A-  
B-  
C-

5. Any other comments?

We have lived on this street for over 30 yrs and have never seen road work done. The roadway gets narrower every year, how about fixing the road first.

# Class Environmental Assessment for the Wyandotte Street East extension and Jarvis Avenue

Public Information Centre #1

October 24, 2019

4:00pm to 7:00pm

Riverside Sportsmen Club

Comment Sheets

Wyandotte-Dillon-Beverly Glen-Jarvis

From our understanding, the overall concept of Wyandotte-Dillon-Beverly Glen-Jarvis improvement is to open Wyandotte Street and Beverly Glen Drive onto Jarvis Avenue, to relieve traffic from the Banwell Road and Wyandotte Street cluster mess. However, a Jarvis Avenue-Dillon Drive connection would dump traffic from east bound Wyandotte Street into our neighbourhood, which would be unwelcomed to say the least. It does not improve life for residents of Jarvis Avenue in any way.

Jarvis Avenue does need assistance in the way of upgrades and we would welcome that. However, we are personally opposed to a sidewalk on the east side of Jarvis, because of where the street is to be located. Our homes and old trees are too close to the proposed road on the east side of the road. We would not be opposed to the upgrades should the sidewalk be relocated to the west side of the road, as the houses have greater setbacks. Curbs, sewers, road, sidewalks etc. would be an expense to us, however, if we could get some of these ideas incorporated into the final plan we would not be opposed.


We under any circumstance do not want Jarvis opened onto Little River Road.

We realize with Jarvis Avenue remaining closed, that Beverly Glen will have to be opened and a new cul de sac to the south of Jarvis Avenue created. We assume this is due to being in contravention of the 750 feet access for rescue vehicles. Is this assumption accurate?

If you opened Beverley Glen Drive and not Wyandotte Street through to Jarvis Avenue, traffic would still be utilizing this street as a short cut. We struggle with the answer, although it would be less of a problem to Jarvis Avenue than straight through from Wyandotte Street.

Lastly, we may have an interest in acquiring the property south of us, which we have been maintaining for the past 15 years.

Regards,



Joe Di Ponio  
Sandra Johnston  
1190 Jarvis Ave.,  
Windsor ON N8P 1C9  
5197390911

## **Dowie, Andrew**

---

**From:** Ellen van Wageningen <ellenvw@cogeco.ca>  
**Sent:** Sunday, October 27, 2019 4:34 PM  
**To:** Dowie, Andrew  
**Subject:** Re: Wyandotte Street East to Jarvis Avenue Environmental Assessment

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello Andrew.

I'm a member of the Windsor Bicycling Committee and I attended the Oct. 24 open house for the Wyandotte Street East to Jarvis Avenue extension. I was going to talk to you, but you had a lineup of folks from the neighbourhood with questions.

My only question is: Would this EA cover a scenario in which city council decided not to extend Wyandotte to Jarvis but did decide to connect Wyandotte and Dillon with a multi-use trail? The city's Active Transportation Master Plan envisions Wyandotte-Dillon as one of the regional spines. Area residents I spoke to were not in favour of Wyandotte and Beverly Glen connecting to Jarvis. However, they did seem open to having a multi-use path for cyclists and pedestrians that would connect Wyandotte to Dillon.

Thanks for your time and consideration.

All the best,  
Ellen van Wageningen



COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class Environmental Assessment

Name: DARLENE & GUY CRAGG

Address: 557 JARVIS AVE  
(Mailing /email)

Phone #: 519-979-4063  
(optional)

Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the exception of personal information, all comments will become part of the public record.

1. Do you agree / not agree with the recommended concept? What would you change, if anything?

*We do not agree with the recommended concept.*

2. What other features would you like to see considered for Wyandotte Street East, Jarvis Avenue or Beverly Glen Street?

3. What do you like and/or dislike about the concepts presented today?

- A-
- B-
- C-

4. Do you have any other comments?

*We are asking that the Jarvis / Wyandotte / Beverly Glen project be put on hold and have everything remain status quo until such time that we have fair representation at City Council. We are currently without a Councillor in our area*

**Dowie, Andrew**

---

**From:** Tremblay, Donovan  
**Sent:** Monday, November 25, 2019 5:52 PM  
**To:** Dowie, Andrew  
**Subject:** Wyandotte Jarvis proposal

Hi Andrew. As a Jarvis resident and having attended the public info session I feel strongly that the option to do nothing should be adopted. Without council representation, the proposal should be delayed or denied. There are many factors which led me to my decision but just a few are: increased traffic, loss of some of my property. Reduced or eliminated wild life (eagles,turkeys,coyotes,monarch butterfly etc.). The fee which we will be forced to pay for the changes.

I hope this makes it into the submission that the rest of my neighbors have provided to you and the department in Hope's that we will be taken off the proposal list of developments.

If you wish you can reach out to me for more info.

Sent from my Samsung Galaxy smartphone.

## Dowie, Andrew

---

**From:** Marilyn Jacobson <fmjacobson998@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 26, 2019 5:01 PM  
**To:** Dowie, Andrew  
**Subject:** Wyandotte St./Jarvis St Environmental Assessment

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello Mr. Dowie:

Please accept this email as my comments concerning the Environmental Assessment of the proposed Wyandotte St. extension through to Jarvis St. I am a long time resident of Jarvis St. (1958) and have been looking forward to completion of this project as well as the local improvements to Jarvis St. which I hope will go forward as well. Jarvis St. is currently in an unacceptable state of disrepair and the parking situation is maddening, especially for residents like myself who take pride in their yard and also maintain an attractive grass covered city boulevard. Trying to keep others from parking on "my" boulevard and filling it with ruts and mud has become too much for me. We desperately need proper on-street parking which I understand local improvements will provide.

Having reviewed the assessment, I am in favour of scenario 3, indicating that once Wyandotte extends to Jarvis St., our street will be closed at Riverside Dr. We had discussed this option extensively during meetings in the mid 1990's when the area beginning to be developed.

If you require any other opinions/suggestions to get this project moving forward, do not hesitate to contact me.

Thank you Mr. Dowie

Florence Jacobson  
998 Jarvis St.  
Windsor, ON

519-735-9579

## Dowie, Andrew

---

**From:** Kailyn Gillis <gillisk@uwindsor.ca>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 27, 2019 6:09 PM  
**To:** Dowie, Andrew  
**Subject:** 536 Jarvis Ave.

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Andrew!

I live at 536 Jarvis and I fully support my parents email as included below.

Thank you.  
Kailyn Gillis

Hi Andrew,  
We recently had a meeting of the residents In regards to the Wyandotte / Jarvis street upgrade. In the presentation there were 5 options laid out. It would be our preference to have nothing done and to leave our street as it is and not have Wyandotte come thru, Option 1. We also feel that if there is a decision to be made it should wait until we have a counsellor to represent our concerns at city council. If in fact Wyandotte is forced thru, the only option that would be acceptable would be to close Jarvis at rRiverside Drive. Please keep me updated as to any developments.  
Thanks, Kelly and Scott Carter - 563 Jarvis

Sent from my iPhone

COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class Environmental Assessment

Name: Dan Stronks  
 Address: 1127 Jarvis Ave  
 (Mailing /email)  
 Phone #: (519) 450-0236  
 (optional)

Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the exception of personal information all comments will become part of the public record.

1. Do you agree / not agree with the recommended concept? What would you change, if anything?

Disagree. The increased traffic is a safety concern for all of us with kids. The widening of the street will result in the removal of all our mature trees, forever changing the landscape and feel of the street!

2. What other features would you like to see considered for Wyandotte Street East, Jarvis Avenue or Beverly Glen Street?

Leave it as is. The charm of Jarvis will be lost. We do NOT want Jarvis connected to Little River and do not want Beverly Glen connected.

3. What do you like and/or dislike about the concepts presented today?

- A- Dislike the increased traffic.
- B- Dislike the environmental impact.
- C- Dislike the spending of tax dollars on something we do not feel adds benefit!

4. Do you have any other comments?

Please do nothing - Leave it as is!!  
 The western lots on Jarvis serve as a natural wildlife oasis - fox, coyote, great-horned owls all call this land home. Was this even considered? How about the loss of mature trees? The lack of consideration to these topics seems reckless. Please save tax payer money. Thanks, DAN.

COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class Environmental Assessment

Name: RENATO S. ODOI ALBANO  
Address: 543 JARVIS.  
(Mailing /email)  
Phone #: 519 791 9195  
(optional)

Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the exception of personal information all comments will become part of the public record.

1. Do you agree / not agree with the recommended concept? What would you change, if anything?

I do not agree with Wyandotte St going through to Jarvis Ave.

2. What other features would you like to see considered for Wyandotte Street East, Jarvis Avenue or Beverly Glen Street?

I do not want Wyandotte or Beverly Glen to go through to Jarvis.

I would like Jarvis Ave to be resurfaced with new drains.

3. What do you like and/or dislike about the concepts presented today?

A- I want nothing touched except  
B- road resurfacing and proper drainage  
C- systems

4. Do you have any other comments?

I moved here because the street Jarvis is beautiful.  
I also think going forward with the Wyandotte concept is a waste of money.

COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class Environmental Assessment

Name: Stacey Pillon

Address: 546-1 Jarvis  
(Mailing /email)

Phone.#: 519-761-2732  
(optional)

stacey.pillon3068@hotmail.com

Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the exception of personal information all comments will become part of the public record.

1. Do you agree / not agree with the recommended concept? What would you change, if anything?

No roads opened or closed off Jarvis

2. What other features would you like to see considered for Wyandotte Street East, Jarvis Avenue or Beverly Glen Street?

3. What do you like and/or dislike about the concepts presented today?

- A-
- B-
- C-

4. Do you have any other comments?

I choose DO NOTHING OPTION 1

Stacey Pillon

COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class Environmental Assessment

Name: John Bell  
Address: 549 Jarvis Ave  
(Mailing /email.)  
Phone #: \_\_\_\_\_  
(optional)

Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the exception of personal information all comments will become part of the public record.

1. Do you agree / not agree with the recommended concept? What would you change, if anything?

I don't agree with the concept. I would keep things the same. Do nothing

2. What other features would you like to see considered for Wyandotte Street East, Jarvis Avenue or Beverly Glen Street?

Wyandotte to Jarvis is a major safety risk.

3. What do you like and/or dislike about the concepts presented today?

- A- I'm not interest in Wyandotte extended
- B- I am against the cost sharing improvement
- C- I do not want to see Jarvis Ave widened

4. Do you have any other comments?

The city's budget for this could be better allocated to more useful and important projects in our area. Improving our risk of flooding on the East end specifically.



COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class Environmental Assessment

Name: Vanessa Domais  
Address: 552 Jarvis  
(Mailing /email)  
Phone #: 519-562-9729  
(optional)

Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the exception of personal information all comments will become part of the public record.

1. Do you agree / not agree with the recommended concept? What would you change, if anything?

No

2. What other features would you like to see considered for Wyandotte Street East, Jarvis Avenue or Beverly Glen Street?

3. What do you like and/or dislike about the concepts presented today?

- A- Don't want traffic - safety issue
- B-
- C-

4. Do you have any other comments?

Option 1 - Do Nothing

Vanessa Domais

COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class Environmental Assessment

Name: DARLENE E GUY CRAGG  
Address: 557 JARVIS AVE  
(Mailing /email)  
Phone #: 519-979-4063  
(optional)

Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the exception of personal information all comments will become part of the public record.

1. Do you agree / not agree with the recommended concept? What would you change, if anything?

No - want Jarvis closed at Riverside  
- we have a lot of traffic on Jarvis now and way to many speeders

2. What other features would you like to see considered for Wyandotte Street East, Jarvis Avenue or Beverly Glen Street?

- Designated bike lanes
- Sidewalks & curbs on Jarvis
- Build a ditch running along the back of the properties on Jarvis for drainage water stands from back

3. What do you like and/or dislike about the concepts presented today?

- A- Don't like that there's no of our property to our back door
- B- traffic calming measures
- C- in place

Don't like that we are not told what the cost would be for us

4. Do you have any other comments?

Would like to see Jarvis widened to include parking on both sides of the street

COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class Environmental Assessment

Name: ERNIE & BARB CLIFTON

Address: 558 JARVIS AVE N&P1C9  
(Mailing /email)

Phone #: 519-979-3340  
(optional)

Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the exception of personal information all comments will become part of the public record.

1. Do you agree / agree with the recommended concept? What would you change, if anything?

Disagree with recommended concept of putting Wyandotte St. through + changes to JARVIS

2. What other features would you like to see considered for Wyandotte Street East, Jarvis Avenue or Beverly Glen Street?

Prefer the DO NOTHING option #1

3. What do you like and/or dislike about the concepts presented today?

- A- connection of Wyandotte to Dutton sounds very dangerous.
- B- safety issues for residents of street
- C- movement of sidewalk on East side would put sidewalk almost at the bottom of our front stairs
- d- increase of traffic unwanted
- c) removal of established trees a concern

4. Do you have any other comments?

all of the options except #1 would come at too great a cost for very little improvement!  
Our street would not benefit greatly from these proposals  
Fixtures needed for Jarvis should be done through  
Local Improvement Centre

COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class Environmental Assessment

Name: Kim & Ken McLaughlin  
Address: 564 JARVIS  
(Mailing /email)  
Phone #: 226 345 6105  
(optional)

*Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the exception of personal information all comments will become part of the public record.*

1. Do you agree / not agree with the recommended concept? What would you change, if anything?

leave JARVIS as is!

2. What other features would you like to see considered for Wyandotte Street East, Jarvis Avenue or Beverly Glen Street?

If Wyandotte has to come to JARVIS we need to close the street at RIVERSIDE

3. What do you like and/or dislike about the concepts presented today?

A -  
B -  
C -

4. Do you have any other comments?

That is why I bought on the street "Character"

COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class Environmental Assessment

Name: RON & BETTY COLES  
Address: 569 JARVIS  
(Mailing /email)  
Phone #: 519-735-8503  
(optional)

Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the exception of personal information all comments will become part of the public record.

1. Do you agree / not agree with the recommended concept? What would you change, if anything?

LEAVE AS IS - BUT DEAD END AT RIVERSIDE

2. What other features would you like to see considered for Wyandotte Street East, Jarvis Avenue or Beverly Glen Street?

LEAVE AS IS - BUT DEAD END AT RIVERSIDE

3. What do you like and/or dislike about the concepts presented today?

A- LEAVE AS IS - BUT DEAD END AT RIVERSIDE  
B-  
C-

4. Do you have any other comments?

COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class Environmental Assessment

Name: PHILIP MARTIN LOUISE BONDY

Address: 577 JARVIS AVE WINDSOR ON N8P1C8  
(Mailing /email)

4 we also own  
lot 0 WYANDOTTE E 519-979-0162  
PLAN 1472 WPT LOT 9 176-61FR  
(optional)

Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the exception of personal information all comments will become part of the public record.

1. Do you agree / not agree with the recommended concept? What would you change, if anything?

I agree with this concept of closing RIVERSIDE DR. OPENING LITTLE RIVER + WYANDOTTE going through

2. What other features would you like to see considered for Wyandotte Street East, Jarvis Avenue or Beverly Glen Street?

I DO NOT agree with opening BEVERLY GLEN ST. NOT NECESSARY

3. What do you like and/or dislike about the concepts presented today?

- A- I LIKE <sup>the idea</sup> OF WIDENING THE STREET
- B- PAVING THE STREET + MORE LIGHTS. !!!
- C- I DO NOT LIKE HAVING TO PAY THAT amt. of money

4. Do you have any other comments?

I HAVE SEEN COMING DOWN OF RUSSELL DR THROUGH THE NIGHT SHUTTING THE CAR TO GET AWAY FROM THE POLICE. ALSO THEY SPEED WHEN THEY TURN FROM THE DRIVE.

COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class Environmental Assessment

Name: Domenka & Mike Selmani  
Address: 582 Jarvis  
(Mailing /email)  
Phone #: 519-564-9738  
(optional)

dssoldit@gmail.com

Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the exception of personal information all comments will become part of the public record.

1. Do you agree / not agree with the recommended concept? What would you change, if anything?

No - want to protect the greenhouses  
& greenery of the street

2. What other features would you like to see considered for Wyandotte Street East, Jarvis Avenue or Beverly Glen Street?

3. What do you like and/or dislike about the concepts presented today?

A -  
B -  
C -  
No choose -  
- Do Nothing - Option 1

4. Do you have any other comments?

COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class Environmental Assessment

Name: LARRY WHITE  
Address: 587 JARVIS AVE.  
(Mailing /email)  
Phone #: 226-344-0086  
(optional)

Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the exception of personal information all comments will become part of the public record.

1. Do you agree / not agree with the recommended concept? What would you change, if anything?

I DO NOT AGREE.  
- fix the road only.

2. What other features would you like to see considered for Wyandotte Street East, Jarvis Avenue or Beverly Glen Street?

DO NOTHING + IMPROVE THE STREET, PLEASE!!

3. What do you like and/or dislike about the concepts presented today?

- A- TRAFFIC
- B- NOISE
- C- POLLUTION  
SAFETY for cars running through into houses

4. Do you have any other comments?

Please leave it as it is!!  
Delay till we have council



COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class Environmental Assessment

Name: Kim Harris

Address: 588 Jarvis  
(Mailing /email)

Phone #: Kimberlyrmt2@outlook.com  
(optional)

Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the exception of personal information all comments will become part of the public record.

1. Do you agree / not agree with the recommended concept? What would you change, if anything?

no I don't agree

2. What other features would you like to see considered for Wyandotte Street East, Jarvis Avenue or Beverly Glen Street?

I would like to see our street widened a little and repaved. NOT widened like what is proposed.

3. What do you like and/or dislike about the concepts presented today?

- A- The roundabout concept is good
- B-
- C-

4. Do you have any other comments?

Please do nothing -

COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class Environmental Assessment

Name: Marcela & Chester Galle  
Address: 590 Jarvis Ave, Windsor  
(Mailing /email)  
Phone #: 519-560-7386  
(optional)

Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the exception of personal information all comments will become part of the public record.

1. Do you agree / not agree with the recommended concept? What would you change, if anything?

We don't agree with the recommended concepts and vote for "DO NOTHING" option.

2. What other features would you like to see considered for Wyandotte Street East, Jarvis Avenue or Beverly Glen Street?

We would like for Wyandotte Street not to be extended. The space between Barnwell & Jarvis should be left as a green space which we chronically lack in Windsor. Walk or bike path would be very welcomed here.

3. What do you like and/or dislike about the concepts presented today?

- A- We don't like the concepts presented to us as
- B- we don't believe that they would benefit
- C- people of Windsor; on the contrary, the proposed concepts would benefit mostly people of Tecumseh.

4. Do you have any other comments?

We believe that our hard earned taxpayer money should benefit all people of Windsor. In the meantime Jarvis Avenue is being patched with tar every few weeks.

COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class Environmental Assessment

Name: LEN + NANCY ETOE  
Address: 594 JARVIS  
(Mailing /email)  
Phone #: 519-979 4499  
(optional)

Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the exception of personal information all comments will become part of the public record.

1. Do you agree / not agree with the recommended concept? What would you change, if anything?

No Changes remain the same

2. What other features would you like to see considered for Wyandotte Street East, Jarvis Avenue or Beverly Glen Street?

I believe if you open anything it should be little river at Jarvis Only

3. What do you like and/or dislike about the concepts presented today?

A- I believe it is not safe at all to bring traffic into this area

B- If it is just mainly for emergency's then open little river

4. Do you have any other comments?

We need support of a council before anything is done.

COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class  
Environmental Assessment

Name: Pamela De Dona  
Address: 598 Jarvis Ave.  
(Mailing /email)  
Phone #: \_\_\_\_\_  
(optional)

*Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act.  
With the exception of personal information all comments will become part of the public record.*

1. Do you agree / not agree with the recommended concept? What would you change, if anything?

Dissagree on whyandotte coming through to Jarvis.

2. What other features would you like to see considered for Wyandotte Street East, Jarvis Avenue or Beverly Glen Street?

keep the Jarvis trees.

3. What do you like and/or dislike about the concepts presented today?

- A- Less safe of a street for foster kids we ~~take~~ take care of.
- B- Possible crashes into our home.
- C- Lack of parking near our home & difficulties entering and exiting our driveway for work/boating.

4. Do you have any other comments?

COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class Environmental Assessment

Name: Kyle DeDown  
Address: 598 Jarvis / dedoky@gmail.com  
(Mailing /email)  
Phone #: 519-564-4465  
(optional)

Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the exception of personal information all comments will become part of the public record.

1. Do you agree / not agree with the recommended concept? What would you change, if anything?  
Disagree. I am against connecting Wyandotte to Jarvis. I am right on the intersection, and the increased traffic would increase the risk to the foster children we take care of.  
Add parking in front of my house to have a physical block from traffic down wyandotte (live right in front)

2. What other features would you like to see considered for Wyandotte Street East, Jarvis Avenue or Beverly Glen Street?  
I think things are fine the way they are. If changes must be made, I would like if no trees are taken out, and have parking in front of my house to help shield against oncoming traffic.

3. What do you like and/or dislike about the concepts presented today?  
A- don't like increased traffic, less parking,  
B-  
C-

4. Do you have any other comments?  
Have a nice day =)

COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class Environmental Assessment

Name: Heather Marier  
 Address: Wyandotte St E plan 1472 PT lot 16  
 (Mailing /email) hvernese@gmail.com  
 Phone #: \_\_\_\_\_  
 (optional)

Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the exception of personal information all comments will become part of the public record.

1. Do you agree / not agree with the recommended concept? What would you change, if anything?  
 I do not agree with what is being recommended.  
 I support doing nothing to avoid the connection of Banwell to Jarvis via Wyandotte.

2. What other features would you like to see considered for Wyandotte Street East, Jarvis Avenue or Beverly Glen Street?  
 I would like to keep the current situation where Wyandotte is terminated at Banwell.

3. What do you like and/or dislike about the concepts presented today?  
 A- I like scenario 1 (Do Nothing).  
 B- I dislike all other scenarios that have been presented.  
 C- Jarvis will be changed for the worse if these were to happen.

4. Do you have any other comments?  
 As a Wyandotte land owner I could potentially benefit from this project moving ahead but I believe that the safety and integrity of keeping Jarvis the way it is far outweighs those benefits.

COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class Environmental Assessment

Name: Diane & Tony Namikas

Address: 914 Jarvis  
(Mailing /email)

Phone #: \_\_\_\_\_  
(optional)

Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the exception of personal information, all comments will become part of the public record.

1. Do you agree / not agree with the recommended concept? What would you change, if anything?

I/we do not agreed with the recommended concept. DO nothing ~~not safe.~~

2. What other features would you like to see considered for Wyandotte Street East, Jarvis Avenue or Beverly Glen Street?

Do nothing.

3. What do you like and/or dislike about the concepts presented today?

- A- not safe for people living around
- B- putting wyd. Houses are too close
- C- to the street!

4. Do you have any other comments?

Leave Jarvis open at Riverside Dr.  
Need support of a Ward councillor

COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class Environmental Assessment

Name: ERIN METIVIER  
Address: 950 JARVIS N8P 1C9  
(Mailing /email)  
Phone #: 519-999-2469  
(optional)

Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the exception of personal information, all comments will become part of the public record.

1. Do you agree / not agree with the recommended concept? What would you change, if anything?

- I do not agree with ~~the~~ wyandotte being connected with Jarvis in any way. ~~The~~ the only option I want is to have nothing done. The street is fine as is.

2. What other features would you like to see considered for Wyandotte Street East, Jarvis Avenue or Beverly Glen Street?

3. What do you like and/or dislike about the concepts presented today?

A- ~~There was absolutely nothing the city of Windsor offered,~~  
B- ~~as an option~~  
C- Every idea the city has for Jarvis is terrible. Leave Jarvis alone. The street is fine as is.

4. Do you have any other comments?

I purchased my home in 2017. I liked Jarvis because it's quiet. I do not want to see speeding cars going through Jarvis. There are families and seniors walking on both the road and sidewalks, I am amazed at how useless the city continues to be. I do not want my taxes increased because the city has poorly designed roads and cannot manage the traffic in this city. Please do not let me again, I want Jarvis left as is. I do not want to see trees cut down, wildlife / plant life disturbed.

Do Nothing!!



COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class Environmental Assessment

Name: Donna Thorpe  
Address: 949 Jarvis  
(Mailing / email)  
Phone #: 519-735-6486  
(optional)

Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the exception of personal information all comments will become part of the public record.

1. Do you agree / not agree with the recommended concept? What would you change, if anything?

Do not agree

2. What other features would you like to see considered for Wyandotte Street East, Jarvis Avenue or Beverly Glen Street?

Redo Jarvis - not widened - keep street narrow  
↓ quiet  
Don't want Wyandotte St Traffic

3. What do you like and/or dislike about the concepts presented today?

- A -
- B -
- C -

4. Do you have any other comments?

I want option 1 - Do NOTHING  
Thorpe

COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class Environmental Assessment

Name: Nikki Antic  
Address: 964 Jarvis  
(Mailing /email)  
Phone #: 519-995-5614  
(optional)

Nikki.antic@hotmail.com

Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the exception of personal information all comments will become part of the public record.

1. Do you agree / not agree with the recommended concept? What would you change, if anything?

No

2. What other features would you like to see considered for Wyandotte Street East, Jarvis Avenue or Beverly Glen Street?

3. What do you like and/or dislike about the concepts presented today?

- A- Dislike - traffic, cost street
- B- doesn't need to be the proposed
- C- width

4. Do you have any other comments?

Better drawings is my main  
But will go with option 1 - Do  
Nothing

COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class Environmental Assessment

Name: Jesse Johnston

Address: 970 Jarvis  
(Mailing /email)

Phone #: 519-816-6456 (text)  
(optional)

Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the exception of personal information all comments will become part of the public record.

1. Do you agree / not agree with the recommended concept? What would you change, if anything?

No, don't like it! Wast of taxpayer money.  
Wyandotte

2. What other features would you like to see considered for Wyandotte Street East, Jarvis Avenue or Beverly Glen Street?

3. What do you like and/or dislike about the concepts presented today?

- A -
- B -
- C -

4. Do you have any other comments?

OPTION 1 - Do Nothing

*Jesse Johnston*

COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class Environmental Assessment

Name: Brian Bitacola  
Address: 976 Jarvis  
(Mailing /email)  
Phone #: 519-567-0126  
(optional)

brianbitacola2@gmail.com

Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the exception of personal information all comments will become part of the public record.

1. Do you agree / not agree with the recommended concept? What would you change, if anything?

Don't like it

2. What other features would you like to see considered for Wyandotte Street East, Jarvis Avenue or Beverly Glen Street?

Just leave as is

3. What do you like and/or dislike about the concepts presented today?

A-  
B-  
C-

Nothing - leave as is

4. Do you have any other comments?

I choose option 1 - Do nothing

Brian Bitacola

COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class Environmental Assessment

Name: Debbie Stuart Milling  
Address: 984 Jarvis Ave  
(Mailing /email:)  
Phone #: \_\_\_\_\_  
(optional)

Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the exception of personal information all comments will become part of the public record.

1. Do you agree / not agree with the recommended concept? What would you change, if anything?

*DM*  
We do not agree with the recommended packages on tailoring improvements to fit more precisely what the neighbours + neighbour hood needs. Too costly.

2. What other features would you like to see considered for Wyandotte Street East, Jarvis Avenue or Beverly Glen Street?

3. What do you like and/or dislike about the concepts presented today?

- A- Do not like the high cost
- B- traffic volume potential too high
- C-

4. Do you have any other comments?

We prefer the do nothing option now + hope in the future an improvement packages plan can be devised that will include more lights, a widened street, better roads, curbs + possible play site.

Debbie Milling  
Stuart Milling

COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class Environmental Assessment

Name: JADE MORRISON

Address: 991 JARVIS AVE  
(Mailing /email)

Phone #: 519 991 0411 / Finchjade@hotmail.com  
(optional)

Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the exception of personal information all comments will become part of the public record.

1. Do you agree / not agree with the recommended concept? What would you change, if anything?

DISAGREE

2. What other features would you like to see considered for Wyandotte Street East, Jarvis Avenue or Beverly Glen Street?

—

3. What do you like and/or dislike about the concepts presented today?

- A-
- B-
- C-

—

4. Do you have any other comments?

Option 1 - do nothing  
Wyandotte charge is a waste of money.

COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class Environmental Assessment

Name: FRANK OSTROGONAC  
Address: 1001 JARVIS AVE.  
(Mailing /email )  
Phone #: 519 979 9887  
(optional)

*Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the exception of personal information all comments will become part of the public record.*

1. Do you agree / not agree with the recommended concept? What would you change, if anything?

2. What other features would you like to see considered for Wyandotte Street East, Jarvis Avenue or Beverly Glen Street?

*Do nothing until we have a City Councillor Representative*

3. What do you like and/or dislike about the concepts presented today?

- A -
- B -
- C -

4. Do you have any other comments?

COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class Environmental Assessment

Name: Jack + Inge Clemente  
Address: 1010 Jarvis Ave  
(Mailing /email): jackcosimo@gmail.com  
Phone #: \_\_\_\_\_  
(optional)

Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the exception of personal information all comments will become part of the public record.

1. Do you agree / not agree with the recommended concept? What would you change, if anything?

2. What other features would you like to see considered for Wyandotte Street East, Jarvis Avenue or Beverly Glen Street?

3. What do you like and/or dislike about the concepts presented today?

- A -
- B -
- C -

4. Do you have any other comments?

*We like our street and access the way it is.  
We don't want to see any trees removed.  
We don't want all the extra traffic passing through  
our neighborhood, to get to Tecumshu.  
Jarvis is a very desirable street to live on which  
helps our property values. That will change with this  
development. We wish to Do NOTHING until we  
have a Councilman to represent us. Do Nothing*



COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class Environmental Assessment

Name: Bogdan Mihaescu  
Address: 1018 JARVIS  
(Mailing /email)  
Phone #: 519 300 5462  
(optional)

Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the exception of personal information all comments will become part of the public record.

1. Do you agree / not agree with the recommended concept? What would you change, if anything?

I don't agree with the recommended concept.  
\* Do nothing of the concepts suggested by the City but what the residents want.

2. What other features would you like to see considered for Wyandotte Street East, Jarvis Avenue or Beverly Glen Street?

I do not consider any of these features necessary, other than re-paving the street (Jarvis) and adding street lights. Just fix the street so it doesn't look like after a war.

3. What do you like and/or dislike about the concepts presented today?

None. Spend the 2.4 mil. on what the residents want and need because they are the ones who paid for the existing 2.4 mil.

4. Do you have any other comments?

Bring the street to the normal standard for 2019, with the money that the residents ALREADY paid for years. With regards to the environment, the whole world is supporting GREEN, plants trees, etc ... and we would cut trees?! For widening the street when nobody wants that??

COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class Environmental Assessment

Name: RASHA JANKOUSKIS  
Address: 1020 Jarvis ave  
(Mailing /email)  
Phone #: 519-735-1949  
(optional)

*Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the exception of personal information all comments will become part of the public record.*

1. Do you agree / not agree with the recommended concept? What would you change, if anything?

2. What other features would you like to see considered for Wyandotte Street East, Jarvis Avenue or Beverly Glen Street?

KEEP JARVIS open at Riverside for safety reasons so ambulances, fire trucks can access quickly. Pave, improve Jarvis because when it rains the road is flooded.

3. What do you like and/or dislike about the concepts presented today?

- A-
- B-
- C-

4. Do you have any other comments?

COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class Environmental Assessment

Name: Poboril, Dilebor

Address: 1029 Jarvis

Phone #: 226-280-0015

DEVIUCE 2002 @ MAIL COME

Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the exception of personal information, all comments will become part of the public record.

1. Do you agree / not agree with the recommended concept? What would you change, if anything?

Don't like  
Definitely dont open Little River

2. What other features would you like to see considered for Wyandotte Street East, Jarvis Avenue or Beverly Glen Street?

Street needs new asphalt +sewers- thats it  
Not widened

3. What do you like and/or dislike about the concepts presented today?

- A-
- B-
- C-

4. Do you have any other comments?

Option 1 - Do Nothing

*[Handwritten signature]*

COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class Environmental Assessment

Name: BARB MAILLOUX  
 Address: 1036 JARVIS AVE  
 (Mailing /email)  
 Phone #: 519-999-4300  
 (optional)

Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the exception of personal information, all comments will become part of the public record.

1. Do you agree / not agree with the recommended concept? What would you change, if anything?

Do not agree. Extending Wyandotte to Jarvis does not benefit the residents of Jarvis in any way. DO NOTHING

2. What other features would you like to see considered for Wyandotte Street East, Jarvis Avenue or Beverly Glen Street?

These street extension are not necessary and our tax dollars could certainly be put to better use on projects that need immediate attention

3. What do you like and/or dislike about the concepts presented today?

- (A) The look and feel of our street will no longer be the warm old neighborhood which attracted the residents to buy their home.
- (B) Increased traffic is a safety issue and noise issue in our comfortable area
- (C) None of these changes are wanted/required by the residents of Jarvis Ave. Our beautiful mature trees will all be lost which is what attracted me to purchase on Jarvis

4. Do you have any other comments?

DO NOTHING - make no changes to our lovely old feel street "JARVIS AVENUE". If we wanted to live in a cookie cutter neighborhood we would, have purchased our home elsewhere.

COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class Environmental Assessment

Name: Kelly + Lauren GAULT  
Address: 1038 Jarvis Ave  
(Mailing /email)  
Phone #: 519-979-3434  
(optional)

Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the exception of personal information all comments will become part of the public record.

1. Do you agree / not agree with the recommended concept? What would you change, if anything?

We do not agree with the concepts.

2. What other features would you like to see considered for Wyandotte Street East, Jarvis Avenue or Beverly Glen Street?

We do not see any benefit to Jarvis residents,  
- a paved bike/pedestrian path on the Wyandotte right of way

3. What do you like and/or dislike about the concepts presented today?

- A- increased traffic
- B- safety concerns from traffic
- C- noise
- loss of neighbourhood character

4. Do you have any other comments?

- looking at a map of the area these plans all benefit residents to the east of Jarvis Ave more than those on Jarvis.

COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class Environmental Assessment

Name: Brian Barker  
Address: 1047 Jarvis  
(Mailing /email)  
Phone #: 519-300-5721  
(optional)

b.barkerhill64@gmail.com

Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the exception of personal information all comments will become part of the public record.

1. Do you agree / not agree with the recommended concept? What would you change, if anything?

No, don't want traffic, cost etc!

2. What other features would you like to see considered for Wyandotte Street East, Jarvis Avenue or Beverly Glen Street?

3. What do you like and/or dislike about the concepts presented today?

- A-
- B-
- C-

4. Do you have any other comments?

Choose Option 1 - Do Nothing  
Waste of tax dollars

*Brian Barker*

COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class Environmental Assessment

Name: C. J. Sylvestre  
Address: jensylvestre@yahoo.ca  
(Mailing / email)  
Phone #: \_\_\_\_\_  
(optional)

Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the exception of personal information all comments will become part of the public record.

1. Do you agree / not agree with the recommended concept? What would you change, if anything?

I disagree and would recommend to  
Do NOTHING!

2. What other features would you like to see considered for Wyandotte Street East, Jarvis Avenue or Beverly Glen Street?

Keep everything as it is.

3. What do you like and/or dislike about the concepts presented today?

- A- Dislike the disruption of a quiet street to a busy one.
- B- It will cause our street to become a speed zone
- C- We'll have more issues with flooding in peoples backyards + homes.

4. Do you have any other comments?

People who know our street know it's a quiet, charming, historical and a road where children still can play freely on the streets. The proposed plan will make this neighbourhood into a busy, unsafe and will have a less homely feel. Jarvis is a street where you still see big trees, kids playing sports on the road and parents feel safe with them doing so + we still have plenty of nature around (ie. snakes that kids hunt for, turtles, toads + frogs everywhere and even deer visit on occasion. Don't take away this natural beauty of our quiet and lovely street.

COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class Environmental Assessment

Name: VIDA GIEDRIUNAS / PAUL RUTKYS  
Address: 1061 JARVIS AVE WINDSOR  
(Mailing /email)  
Phone #: 226-348-6024  
(optional)

Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the exception of personal information all comments will become part of the public record.

1. Do you agree / not agree with the recommended concept? What would you change, if anything?

Do not agree Keep it the way it is

2. What other features would you like to see considered for Wyandotte Street East, Jarvis Avenue or Beverly Glen Street?

none

3. What do you like and/or dislike about the concepts presented today?

A- don't like any keep it as is. !!!  
B-  
C-

4. Do you have any other comments?

will not pay the city of Windsor \$ for doing the idea



COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class Environmental Assessment

Name: Stephen & Linda McCandless  
Address: 1081 Jarvis Ave.  
(Mailing /email)  
Phone #: 519-735-3016  
(optional)

*Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the exception of personal information, all comments will become part of the public record.*

1. Do you agree / not agree with the recommended concept? What would you change, if anything?

Do nothing option  
Scenario # 1

2. What other features would you like to see considered for Wyandotte Street East, Jarvis Avenue or Beverly Glen Street?

3. What do you like and/or dislike about the concepts presented today?

A-  
B-  
C-

4. Do you have any other comments?

\* This should not be presented in front of city council until we have council representation  
\* Safety study

COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class Environmental Assessment

Name: Cindy + Ryan Bentley  
Address: 1086 Jarvis Ave.  
(Mailing/email): Cindy.bentley25@gmail.com  
Phone #: \_\_\_\_\_  
(optional)

Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the exception of personal information all comments will become part of the public record.

1. Do you agree / not agree with the recommended concept? What would you change, if anything?

I do not agree with the recommendations.

We support Scenario 1 - Do nothing.

2. What other features would you like to see considered for Wyandotte Street East, Jarvis Avenue or Beverly Glen Street?

We prefer to keep our street as is.

3. What do you like and/or dislike about the concepts presented today?

A- We support the do nothing concept.

B- We do not want Wyandotte or Beverly Glen to go through  
C- increasing traffic and causing potential safety issues on our street.

4. Do you have any other comments?

We hope the city will support us in the termination of this project. ~~The~~ In our opinion the risks of these streets going through outweigh any benefits.

COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class Environmental Assessment

Name: A. TODON

Address: 1100 JARVIS  
(Mailing /email)

Phone #: 519-735-2914  
(optional)

*Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the exception of personal information all comments will become part of the public record.*

1. Do you agree / not agree with the recommended concept? What would you change, if anything?

*Repave only.*

2. What other features would you like to see considered for Wyandotte Street East, Jarvis Avenue or Beverly Glen Street?

*DO NOTHING.*

3. What do you like and/or dislike about the concepts presented today?

- A-
- B-
- C-

4. Do you have any other comments?

*No need to open Jarvis at Little River.*

COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class Environmental Assessment

Name: RUTH BRYUNSON  
Address: 1105 Jarvis  
(Mailing /email)  
Phone #: 519-739-1887  
(optional)

Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the exception of personal information all comments will become part of the public record.

1. Do you agree / not agree with the recommended concept? What would you change, if anything?

Disagree

2. What other features would you like to see considered for Wyandotte Street East, Jarvis Avenue or Beverly Glen Street?

Leave as green space to prevent flooding

3. What do you like and/or dislike about the concepts presented today?

- A- Work on curbside is required - just enlarge but
- B- no new openings or curbing of streets
- C-

4. Do you have any other comments?

I choose option 1 - do nothing.  
At a later date, homeowners can discuss through local improvement petition standard upgrades to Jarvis.

B Ruth Bryunson

COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class Environmental Assessment

Name: Brenda Clayton  
Address: 1110 Jarvis  
(Mailing /email)  
Phone #: 519-735-2757  
(optional)

S-claytone@msm.com.ca

Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the exception of personal information all comments will become part of the public record.

1. Do you agree / not agree with the recommended concept? What would you change, if anything?

No. Definitely don't open Little River

2. What other features would you like to see considered for Wyandotte Street East, Jarvis Avenue or Beverly Glen Street?

3. What do you like and/or dislike about the concepts presented today?

- A- Traffic is a big concern
- B-
- C-

4. Do you have any other comments?

Option 1 - Do Nothing  
Brenda Clayton

COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class Environmental Assessment

Name: Agnes/Piotr Olezak  
Address: 1140 Jarvis Avenue  
(Mailing /email)  
Phone #: ~~905~~ (519) 979 1133  
(optional)

*Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the exception of personal information all comments will become part of the public record.*

1. Do you agree / not agree with the recommended concept? What would you change, if anything?

I don't Agree

Leave as IS!!!!

2. What other features would you like to see considered for Wyandotte Street East, Jarvis Avenue or Beverly Glen Street?

Leave as IS!!!

3. What do you like and/or dislike about the concepts presented today?

A-

B-

C-

Leave as Is!!!

4. Do you have any other comments?

Just a new asphalt Road Please.

COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class Environmental Assessment

Name: TAMMI & Pete Straub

Address: 1149 Jarvis  
(Mailing /email)

Phone #: tammistraub@gmail.com  
(optional)

Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the exception of personal information, all comments will become part of the public record.

1. Do you agree / not agree with the recommended concept? What would you change, if anything?

Don't like anything about plan  
Concerns about additional traffic for residents  
with special needs! Unsafe!

2. What other features would you like to see considered for Wyandotte Street East, Jarvis Avenue or Beverly Glen Street?

3. What do you like and/or dislike about the concepts presented today?

- A-
- B-
- C-

4. Do you have any other comments?

I choose to do nothing (option 1)

Tamm Straub

COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class Environmental Assessment

Name: Ben Doka  
Address: 1166 Jarvis  
(Mailing /email):  
Phone #: 226-216-0111  
(optional)

*Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the exception of personal information all comments will become part of the public record.*

1. Do you agree / not agree with the recommended concept? What would you change, if anything?

Do not agree

2. What other features would you like to see considered for Wyandotte Street East, Jarvis Avenue or Beverly Glen Street?

3. What do you like and/or dislike about the concepts presented today?

- A-
- B-
- C-

4. Do you have any other comments?

We pay taxes - why do we have to pay anything for new roads/curbs etc.!

I choose Option 1 - DO NOTHING  




1182 Jarvis

COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class Environmental Assessment

Name: Grace + Chris Nika

Address: gracepnch@hotmail.com  
(Mailing /email)

Phone #: 519 739 2780  
(optional)

Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the exception of personal information all comments will become part of the public record.

1. Do you agree / not agree with the recommended concept? What would you change, if anything?

I do NOT agree with the recommended concept.  
I would do nothing / change nothing! (option 1)

2. What other features would you like to see considered for Wyandotte Street East, Jarvis Avenue or Beverly Glen Street?

Only repaving of Jarvis Ave, without widening the road.

3. What do you like and/or dislike about the concepts presented today?

- A- Like the option to do nothing
- B- Dont like the fact that if Jarvis connected to
- C- Little River, my property tax would increase to pay for job, however, my property value would decrease due to the increase in traffic and the ~~loss~~ peaceful cul-de-sac atmosphere.

4. Do you have any other comments?

I ~~wish~~ think it is best for the project to be dismissed, or put on hold until we have a representative for our ward.

## COMMENT SHEET


**Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class  
Environmental Assessment**

Name: STACE WILKIE / ALCIA WILKIE  
 Address: activeboys@hotmail.com  
 (Mailing /email)  
 Phone #: 519 903 0098  
 (optional)

*Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act.  
 With the exception of personal information all comments will become part of the public record.*

1. Do you agree / not agree with the recommended concept? What would you change, if anything?

DO NOT AGREE. WE DO NOT WANT TO OPEN THE STREET UP.

2. What other features would you like to see considered for Wyandotte Street East, Jarvis Avenue or Beverly Glen Street?

IF THE ROAD IS TO BE RESURFACED IT SHOULD BE THROUGH A SEPERATE PROCESS AND NOT BE INCLUDED IN A PLAN THAT WILL ALTER THE STREET AS IT EXISTS TODAY. WE DO NOT WANT ANY OF THE FEATURES PROPOSED.

3. What do you like and/or dislike about the concepts presented today?

- A- OPENING JARVIS TO LITTLE RIVER - DISLIKE
- B- MOVING THE CUL DE SAC AT JARVIS/LITTLE RIVER - DISLIKE
- C- OPENING WYANDOTTE (A VERY BUSY THOROUGHFARE) TO JARVIS. - DISLIKE.

4. Do you have any other comments?

LEAVE THE STREET AS IT IS. WE MOVED FROM BELLAGIO (WHICH REQUIRED TRAFFIC CALMING) TO A QUIET STREET, PLEASE DON'T RUIN THIS STREET!

BANWELL IS THE LAST MAIN TRAFFIC ARTERY IN WINDSOR AND IS A LOGICAL PLACE FOR WYANDOTTE TO END. OUR TAX DOLLARS AND QUALITY OF LIFE SHOULD NOT BE COMPROMISED TO FACILITATE TRAFFIC FLOW TO TEUMSEH.

COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class Environmental Assessment

Name: Kim STANNACK  
 Address: 916 BANWELL RD  
 (Mailing /email)  
 Phone #: 519-739-1272  
 (optional)

Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the exception of personal information all comments will become part of the public record.

1. Do you agree / not agree with the recommended concept? What would you change, if anything?  
 I AGREE IF THE RESIDENTS ON JARVIS WANT DRAINAGE + ROAD UPGRADES THEY SHOULD DO IT. I DON'T AGREE THAT WYANDOTTE NEEDS TO BE EXTENDED AT THIS TIME. IT WILL HAVE A NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE WILDLIFE + NATURE + BRING TRAFFIC VOLUMES UP ON JARVIS AT DILLON, + IT IS NOT NECESSARY AT THIS TIME.

2. What other features would you like to see considered for Wyandotte Street East, Jarvis Avenue or Beverly Glen Street?  
 I WOULD LIKE TO SEE TRAFFIC CALMING BETWEEN RIVERDALE + BANWELL PARTICULARLY NEAR GREEN PARK, THAT IS TOO LONG A STRETCH + ENFORCEMENT WILL NOT SOLVE THE PROBLEM. NORTH / SOUTH GREEN PARK TRAFFIC HAS DIFFICULTY GETTING THROUGH THE INTERSECTION

3. What do you like and/or dislike about the concepts presented today?  
 A- I THINK THERE SHOULD BE 2 AREAS OF ACCESS TO JARVIS.  
 B- ONE NORTH + ONE SOUTH. FOR EMERGENCY SERVICES.  
 C- I LIKE THE FACT THAT THE PUBLIC IS BEING CONSULTED BUT I WISH THIS PRESENTATION WAS A MORE ORDERLY DISCUSSION RATHER THAN A CAJOL OF DISCUSSIONS.

4. Do you have any other comments?  
 IF ~~WYANDOTTE~~ BANWELL RD. HAS LIMITED PARKING DUE TO LARGE DRIVEWAYS. RESIDENTS HAVING GUESTS USED WYANDOTTE EAST OF BANWELL FOR OVERFLOW PARKING. IF WYANDOTTE IS EXTENDED I HOPE THEY WOULD CONSIDER MAKING IT WIDE ENOUGH TO ACCOMMODATE PARKING.

email: ziggy3@cogeco.ca.

COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class Environmental Assessment

Name: ZIGGY SUKONIK  
Address: 1144 BANWELL AVE  
(Mailing /email)  
Phone #: 226-787-3635  
(optional)

Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the exception of personal information all comments will become part of the public record.

1. Do you agree / not agree with the recommended concept? What would you change, if anything?

OK with all recommendations.

2. What other features would you like to see considered for Wyandotte Street East, Jarvis Avenue or Beverly Glen Street?

Acquiring city property adjacent to the backyards of Banwell Ave. East.

3. What do you like and/or dislike about the concepts presented today?

A- Part of the green zone was included  
B- in study area but ~~not~~ not found  
C- out it is not part of this project.

4. Do you have any other comments?

Is it possible to acquire property 50' wide between Banwell backyards and Jarvis backyards?

COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class Environmental Assessment

Name: Jody Trott - Benson  
Address: 598 Corn Greenwood Dr.  
(Mailing/email) jodytrott@hotmail.com  
Phone #: 519 564 1817  
(optional)

Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the exception of personal information all comments will become part of the public record.

1. Do you agree / not agree with the recommended concept? What would you change, if anything?

I don't agree with any of it. There is no need for Wyandotte to go to Jarvis.

2. What other features would you like to see considered for Wyandotte Street East, Jarvis Avenue or Beverly Glen Street?

Just leave everything the way it is.

3. What do you like and/or dislike about the concepts presented today?

- A- it will interfere with the wild animals who live in the field
- B- bring to much traffic + make it
- C- dangerous for kids.

4. Do you have any other comments?

I don't understand why Wyandotte has to go to Jarvis, I think there is a better place you can use our tax payers dollars. This will be another waste.

COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class Environmental Assessment

Name: Mark Benson  
Address: 598 Cora Greenwood Dr.  
(Mailing /email)  
Phone #: 519 735 7960  
(optional)

Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the exception of personal information all comments will become part of the public record.

1. Do you agree / not agree with the recommended concept? What would you change, if anything?

I do not agree with the proposed concept.

2. What other features would you like to see considered for Wyandotte Street East, Jarvis Avenue or Beverly Glen Street?

Leave as is,

3. What do you like and/or dislike about the concepts presented today?

A- It's going to interfere with spot animal life in jeopardy from the willow tree park area.

4. Do you have any other comments?

Don't do it, STOP!

COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class Environmental Assessment

Name: Lou Jancevski  
Address: 11689 Dillon  
(Mailing /email)  
Phone #: 519-792-9778  
(optional)

*Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the exception of personal information all comments will become part of the public record.*

1. Do you agree / not agree with the recommended concept? What would you change, if anything?

2. What other features would you like to see considered for Wyandotte Street East, Jarvis Avenue or Beverly Glen Street?

3. What do you like and/or dislike about the concepts presented today?

- A-
- B-
- C-

4. Do you have any other comments?

I don't want Wyandotte going through because of traffic on Dillon. I'm concern about kids getting hit because of traffic and congestion on Jarvis & Dillon. You could spend the taxpayers money on more money on Roads.

COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class Environmental Assessment

Name: GREG WUSTKIEWICZ  
Address: 11714 DILLON DR  
(Mailing /email)  
Phone #: (519) 567 2279  
(optional)

*Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the exception of personal information all comments will become part of the public record.*

1. Do you agree / not agree with the recommended concept? What would you change, if anything?

2. What other features would you like to see considered for Wyandotte Street East, Jarvis Avenue or Beverly Glen Street?

3. What do you like and/or dislike about the concepts presented today?

- A-
- B-
- C-

4. Do you have any other comments?

I don't want Wyandotte extended to Jarvis because traffic, and kids safety



COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class Environmental Assessment

Name: Bayraktar # Yelda  
Address: 11717 Dillón  
(Mailing /email)  
Phone #: 226-246-6963  
(optional)

*Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the exception of personal information all comments will become part of the public record.*

1. Do you agree / not agree with the recommended concept? What would you change, if anything?

2. What other features would you like to see considered for Wyandotte Street East, Jarvis Avenue or Beverly Glen Street?

3. What do you like and/or dislike about the concepts presented today?

- A-
- B-
- C-

4. Do you have any other comments?

*I don't want the wyandotte extension.*

COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class Environmental Assessment

Name: Jamie Jarrold  
Address: 11728 Dillon  
(Mailing /email)  
Phone #: 519-564-6145  
(optional)

*Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the exception of personal information all comments will become part of the public record.*

1. Do you agree / not agree with the recommended concept? What would you change, if anything?

2. What other features would you like to see considered for Wyandotte Street East, Jarvis Avenue or Beverly Glen Street?

3. What do you like and/or dislike about the concepts presented today?

- A -
- B -
- C -

4. Do you have any other comments?

- Concerned about traffic, do not want Wyandotte traffic going through. Concerns about safety.

COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class Environmental Assessment

Name:

*Maria Arlotta*

Address:

(Mailing /email)

*11808 Dillon Dr Windsor*

Phone #:

(optional)

*519-979-7027*

*Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the exception of personal information all comments will become part of the public record.*

1. Do you agree / not agree with the recommended concept? What would you change, if anything?

2. What other features would you like to see considered for Wyandotte Street East, Jarvis Avenue or Beverly Glen Street?

3. What do you like and/or dislike about the concepts presented today?

A -

B -

C -

4. Do you have any other comments?

*Concerned with traffic if Wyandotte opens as neighbourhood is getting bigger. Traffic is already getting busier. Also concerned with 4 way stop @ Samwell & Little River*

COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class Environmental Assessment

Name: CSABA RACZ  
Address: 11818 DILLON DR - WINDSOR  
(Mailing /email)  
Phone #: 519 739-0237  
(optional)

*Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the exception of personal information all comments will become part of the public record.*

1. Do you agree / not agree with the recommended concept? What would you change, if anything?

2. What other features would you like to see considered for Wyandotte Street East, Jarvis Avenue or Beverly Glen Street?

3. What do you like and/or dislike about the concepts presented today?

- A -
- B -
- C -

4. Do you have any other comments?

SAFETY AND TRAFFIC  
DO NOT EXPAND WYANDOTTE!

COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class Environmental Assessment

Name: Melissa L. Hoken

Address: 11661 Dillion DR  
(Mailing /email)

Phone #: \_\_\_\_\_  
(optional)

*Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the exception of personal information all comments will become part of the public record.*

1. Do you agree / not agree with the recommended concept? What would you change, if anything?

2. What other features would you like to see considered for Wyandotte Street East, Jarvis Avenue or Beverly Glen Street?

3. What do you like and/or dislike about the concepts presented today?

- A-
- B-
- C-

4. Do you have any other comments?

Don't want them to extend from your house to billion to congested of area to handle traffic

COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class Environmental Assessment

Name: Steve Krajnovic  
Address: 579 McTague  
(Mailing /email)  
Phone #: 519-735-9938  
(optional)

*Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the exception of personal information all comments will become part of the public record.*

1. Do you agree / not agree with the recommended concept? What would you change, if anything?

2. What other features would you like to see considered for Wyandotte Street East, Jarvis Avenue or Beverly Glen Street?

3. What do you like and/or dislike about the concepts presented today?

- A-
- B-
- C-

4. Do you have any other comments?

Don't want wyandotte traffic so no extension  
open Jarvis + little river

S. Krajnovic

COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class Environmental Assessment

Name: Mandeep Sehra  
Address: 1105 Hong St  
(Mailing /email)  
Phone #: 519-980-7566  
(optional)

Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the exception of personal information all comments will become part of the public record.

1. Do you agree / not agree with the recommended concept? What would you change, if anything?


2. What other features would you like to see considered for Wyandotte Street East, Jarvis Avenue or Beverly Glen Street?

3. What do you like and/or dislike about the concepts presented today?

- A-
- B-
- C-

4. Do you have any other comments?

I am opposed to expansion of Wyandotte to Jarvis. I am opposed to the increase in traffic and the extra strain to our already ~~have~~ heavily taxed sewer system.

  
Mandeep Sehra  
Nov 26/2019

COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class Environmental Assessment

Name: ELHADJ OUCHEANE

Address: 522 McTAGUE CRT  
(Mailing /email)

Phone #: \_\_\_\_\_  
(optional)

*Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act.  
With the exception of personal information all comments will become part of the public record.*

1. Do you agree / not agree with the recommended concept? What would you change, if anything?

2. What other features would you like to see considered for Wyandotte Street East, Jarvis Avenue or Beverly Glen Street?

3. What do you like and/or dislike about the concepts presented today?

- A-
- B-
- C-

4. Do you have any other comments?

Not interested in the extension  
Because of the noise & traffic  
*[Signature]*



COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class  
Environmental Assessment

Name: Lisa Sharff  
Address: 534 McTague Ct  
(Mailing /email)  
Phone #: \_\_\_\_\_  
(optional)

*Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act.  
With the exception of personal information all comments will become part of the public record.*

1. Do you agree / not agree with the recommended concept? What would you change, if anything?

2. What other features would you like to see considered for Wyandotte Street East, Jarvis Avenue or Beverly Glen Street?

3. What do you like and/or dislike about the concepts presented today?

- A -
- B -
- C -

4. Do you have any other comments?

I do not want Wyandotte expanded  
too much extra traffic.

COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class Environmental Assessment

Name: JEFF BOUGHNER  
Address: 540 McTAGUE CRT  
(Mailing /email)  
Phone #: 519-979-7330  
(optional)

Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the exception of personal information all comments will become part of the public record.

1. Do you agree / not agree with the recommended concept? What would you change, if anything?

Not Agree

2. What other features would you like to see considered for Wyandotte Street East, Jarvis Avenue or Beverly Glen Street?

Repair Jarvis

3. What do you like and/or dislike about the concepts presented today?

- A- Added cut-through traffic
- B-
- C-

4. Do you have any other comments?

COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class Environmental Assessment

Name: JEAN DAIGLE  
Address: 555 MCTASKE CT  
(Mailing /email)  
Phone #: \_\_\_\_\_  
(optional)

*Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the exception of personal information, all comments will become part of the public record.*

1. Do you agree / not agree with the recommended concept? What would you change, if anything?

2. What other features would you like to see considered for Wyandotte Street East, Jarvis Avenue or Beverly Glen Street?

3. What do you like and/or dislike about the concepts presented today?

- A-
- B-
- C-

4. Do you have any other comments?

I DONT WANT WYANDOTTE EXTENDED  
TO GARVIAS BECAUSE WE THE XTRA  
TRAFFIX FOR SAFTY

COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class Environmental Assessment

Name: Mary Ann Fuduric  
Address: 582 McTague CRT  
(Mailing /email )  
Phone #: 519-739-1459  
(optional)

*Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the exception of personal information all comments will become part of the public record.*

1. Do you agree / not agree with the recommended concept? What would you change, if anything?

2. What other features would you like to see considered for Wyandotte Street East, Jarvis Avenue or Beverly Glen Street?

3. What do you like and/or dislike about the concepts presented today?

- A-
- B-
- C-

4. Do you have any other comments?

~~don't~~ don't want extra noise: traffic, noise.

*M. Fuduric*

COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class Environmental Assessment

Name: Jordyn Lariviere-Eid  
Address: 585 McTague CRT  
(Mailing /email)  
Phone #: \_\_\_\_\_  
(optional)

*Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the exception of personal information all comments will become part of the public record.*

1. Do you agree / not agree with the recommended concept? What would you change, if anything?

2. What other features would you like to see considered for Wyandotte Street East, Jarvis Avenue or Beverly Glen Street?

3. What do you like and/or dislike about the concepts presented today?

A-  
B-  
C-

4. Do you have any other comments?

Do not want wyandotte expanded through Jarvis - safety concerns and waste of tax money

COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class Environmental Assessment

Name: Alison Wilson

Address: 591 ~~JAVA~~ B McTague  
(Mailing /email)

Phone #: 519-890-7768  
(optional)

*Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the exception of personal information all comments will become part of the public record.*

1. Do you agree / not agree with the recommended concept? What would you change, if anything?

2. What other features would you like to see considered for Wyandotte Street East, Jarvis Avenue or Beverly Glen Street?

3. What do you like and/or dislike about the concepts presented today?

- A-
- B-
- C-

4. Do you have any other comments?

~~AAA~~ don't want wyandotte ext  
Traffic -> noise a big problem!!

Alison Wilson

COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class Environmental Assessment

Name: Graeme Hossack

Address: 597 McTaggart Cr  
(Mailing /email)

Phone #: 519-980-0193  
(optional)

*Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the exception of personal information, all comments will become part of the public record.*

1. Do you agree / not agree with the recommended concept? What would you change, if anything?

2. What other features would you like to see considered for Wyandotte Street East, Jarvis Avenue or Beverly Glen Street?

3. What do you like and/or dislike about the concepts presented today?

- A -
- B -
- C -

4. Do you have any other comments?

Like to be exproiprected if coming thru.  
does not solve anyone's traffic concern's

COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class Environmental Assessment

Name: D w Alley
Address: doug.alley1@gmail.com
Phone #: (optional)

Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the exception of personal information, all comments will become part of the public record.

1. Do you agree / not agree with the recommended concept? What would you change, if anything?

2. What other features would you like to see considered for Wyandotte Street East, Jarvis Avenue or Beverly Glen Street?

3. What do you like and/or dislike about the concepts presented today?

- A-
B-
C-

4. Do you have any other comments?

As proposed, kindly improve the intersection of Banwell and Little River Roads as a priority - the new multi-unit buildings at Firgrove & Banwell will exacerbate the urgent need for intersection improvements.



COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class  
Environmental Assessment

Name: JASON POISSON  
Address: 957 Whistler Crt  
(Mailing /email)  
Phone #: 519-979-9973  
(optional)

*Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act.  
With the exception of personal information all comments will become part of the public record.*

1. Do you agree / not agree with the recommended concept? What would you change, if anything?

2. What other features would you like to see considered for Wyandotte Street East, Jarvis Avenue or Beverly Glen Street?

3. What do you like and/or dislike about the concepts presented today?

A -

B -

C -

4. Do you have any other comments?

Do Not Agree to this due to  
flooding issues.

COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class  
Environmental Assessment

Name: DAVE DERUSH

Address: 963 WHISTLER CRT  
(Mailing /email)

Phone #: 519-566-7859  
(optional)

*Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act.  
With the exception of personal information all comments will become part of the public record.*

1. Do you agree / not agree with the recommended concept? What would you change, if anything?

2. What other features would you like to see considered for Wyandotte Street East, Jarvis Avenue or Beverly Glen Street?

3. What do you like and/or dislike about the concepts presented today?

- A -
- B -
- C -

4. Do you have any other comments?

*Our storm drains can't now handle  
what we get already.*

COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class Environmental Assessment

Name: RAWEYA YAUSF  
Address: 969 WHISTLER COURT  
(Mailing /email)  
Phone #: 226 345 7661  
(optional)

Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the exception of personal information all comments will become part of the public record.

1. Do you agree / not agree with the recommended concept? What would you change, if anything?

2. What other features would you like to see considered for Wyandotte Street East, Jarvis Avenue or Beverly Glen Street?

3. What do you like and/or dislike about the concepts presented today?

- A- This area already floods early.
- B- Effects insurance rate with each flood.
- C- Too much load on one system.

4. Do you have any other comments?

*I do not agree with the new system in place.*

COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class Environmental Assessment

Name: TONY KONSTANTINO  
Address: 974 WHISTLER  
(Mailing /email)  
Phone #: 519-919-7396  
(optional)

Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the exception of personal information, all comments will become part of the public record.

1. Do you agree / not agree with the recommended concept? What would you change, if anything?

NOT AGREE

2. What other features would you like to see considered for Wyandotte Street East, Jarvis Avenue or Beverly Glen Street?

KEEP AS IS OR ADD A PARK

3. What do you like and/or dislike about the concepts presented today?

A-

B-

C-

4. Do you have any other comments?

NO MORE RISKS TO FLOODING  
DN WHISTLER & THE AREA

COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class  
Environmental Assessment

Name: JIM DOWLING  
Address: 1016 WHISTLER CRT  
(Mailing /email)  
Phone #: 519-819-1691  
(optional)

*Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act.  
With the exception of personal information all comments will become part of the public record.*

1. Do you agree / not agree with the recommended concept? What would you change, if anything?

No

2. What other features would you like to see considered for Wyandotte Street East, Jarvis Avenue or Beverly Glen Street?

3. What do you like and/or dislike about the concepts presented today?

A -

B -

C -

4. Do you have any other comments?

STORM DRAINS ALREADY TAXED CANNOT  
TAKE MORE.

COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class Environmental Assessment

Name: KAREN METCALFE  
Address: 1054 WHISTLER AVE  
(Mailing /email)  
Phone #: 519 737-2119  
(optional)

*Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the exception of personal information all comments will become part of the public record.*

1. Do you agree / not agree with the recommended concept? What would you change, if anything?

2. What other features would you like to see considered for Wyandotte Street East, Jarvis Avenue or Beverly Glen Street?

3. What do you like and/or dislike about the concepts presented today?

A -

B -

C -

4. Do you have any other comments?

OUR SPDM SEWERS ARE MAXED. WE WERE FLOODED. AND DO NOT SUPPORT EXPANSION OF WYANDOTTE + BEVERLY GLEN

COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class Environmental Assessment

Name: Ayda Cangirli  
Address: 1082 Whistler Cres  
(Mailing /email)  
Phone #: \_\_\_\_\_  
(optional)

*Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the exception of personal information all comments will become part of the public record.*

1. Do you agree / not agree with the recommended concept? What would you change, if anything?

2. What other features would you like to see considered for Wyandotte Street East, Jarvis Avenue or Beverly Glen Street?

3. What do you like and/or dislike about the concepts presented today?

A -

B -

C -

4. Do you have any other comments?

Don't need extra flooding and increased traffic.

\*Please see typed page for full comments.

COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class Environmental Assessment

Name: Caron Brannstein

Address: 961 Jarvis Av  
(Mailing/email)

Phone# 519-564-8406  
(optional)

Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the exception of personal information all comments will become part of the public record.

1. Do you agree / not agree with the recommended concept? What would you change, if anything?

No to Wyandotte St. Full extension and I would ask for a local improvement to Jarvis Av.

2. What other features would you like to see considered for Wyandotte Street East, Jarvis Avenue or Beverly Glen Street?

\*Please see attached typed comment sheet, as I am recovering from hand surgery and it is too painful to continue to write.

3. What do you like and/or dislike about the concepts presented today?

- A-
- B-
- C-

4. Do you have any other comments?





Comment Sheet  
**Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class Environmental  
Assessment**

**Name:** Caron Braunstein

**Address:** 961 Jarvis Av

**Phone #:** 519-564-8406

\*Please add me to the project mailing list

**Summary/My preference: Do Nothing (Proposal #1) and request a local improvement to have Jarvis repaved, as it is.**

**1. Do you agree/not agree with the recommended concept? What would you change, if anything?**

-The way the ideas were proposed to the neighbourhood was in a **bully** fashion: The city proposal is to either NOT repair any current Jarvis Av paving needs OR we would have to agree to having a fast-paced Wyandotte Street lead directly into our quiet neighbourhood.

-I did **not agree** with the concept that the City is budgeting 2+ million to work on their own Wyandotte St plan, yet taxpayers of Jarvis Av that need their existing street repaved would have to split this cost for street repair with the City.

-I **Disagree:** with the statement that you need to 'address limited access to Jarvis Av that limits the provision of emergency and municipal services':

-I am an emergency services worker and I work in this exact area. There has never been an issue regarding emergency access.

1. **Police** attend from all directions while on patrol. If they are coming from Police Headquarters, there is a direct route, up Riverside Drive to Jarvis.

2. **Paramedics** would come from the nearest station in the town of Tecumseh and up Dillon Dr.

3. **Fire** (with trucks no wider than garbage trucks) would come from Lauzon Parkway, up McHugh St, and then up Banwell Rd. A Wyandotte St extension would NOT be the fastest route to Jarvis for Fire.

There is **NO issue** with access in the event of an emergency. Leading citizens (who may not know any better) to think this, comes across more like a scare tactic and another **bully** way of presenting proposal ideas.

-The **initial Notice/Flyer**, that was delivered to neighbours, advising of the Public Information meeting at Riverside Sportsmen Club on October 24<sup>th</sup>, stated that the study was considering the Wyandotte St extension for "**traffic calming**". Wyandotte St between Riverdale Ave and Banwell Rd is a speedway. I am very thankful that the extremely fast moving Wyandotte St, does not adjoin Jarvis Av, eliminating any unnecessary safety risk to our residents.

-Regarding 'No Active Transportation Link': Not all streets need a bike path. Unsafe and busy streets do. Residents of Jarvis Av can very safely navigate our peaceful roadway to nearby Ganatchio Trail.

**2. What other features would you like to see considered for Wyandotte Street East, Jarvis Avenue or Beverly Glen Street?**

-I realize that the city owns property along the proposed Wyandotte St opening and that there is a second property that cannot be accessed. A suggestion would be to only extend Wyandotte to the East, to allow access to these 1<sup>st</sup> 2 properties, and then end in a cul-de-sac. It could either end in this fashion, or you might consider adding in a single centralized bikeway from this Wyandotte St cul-de-sac to Jarvis.

-The diagram of your study area encircles the Jarvis Rd properties. The rear half of the properties on the West side of Jarvis have an extreme drainage issue in early spring, until mid/late June. This problem was created after the (higher level) Banwell Rd properties were developed. As you know, standing water is a breeding ground for mosquitos. I know this rear yard area is our own private property, but if I was going to pay for something (and I know other homeowners in the area who would pay for usable land), it would be nice to get a proposal from the City as to what it might cost for you to run drainage along the rear of our properties, especially if you are already considering tapping in to the Wyandotte St extension area. Please consider just giving us a rough cost to repair

this drainage issue. This additional assistance to West side Jarvis Av residents (even at a cost) would be more thoughtful bargaining, than just opening up Wyandotte completely, which is Not a need to our immediate neighbourhood.

### 3. What do you like and/or dislike about the concepts presented today?

-I **agree** that Jarvis Av should stay open at Riverside drive. **This** is a major emergency services access point. Plus, the first/North block of Jarvis Av has required the most Police presence of the entire street. Maintain this ease for routine Patrol.

-I **agree** that residents on the **East** side of Jarvis Av would benefit from parking. The East side is the side with short driveways and some have resolved their own parking need, by putting in a stone area on the boulevard, in front of their homes. The West side has long and often double driveways. If the street is paved wider, with street parking, I do not want all the cars belonging across the street, to be parked directly in front of my home. Your diagram shows potential parking on the West side of Jarvis. Besides being on the wrong side of the **need**, please consider that this also puts those East side homeowners at risk of having to cross the roadway to get to and from their vehicles every day. This will even be more of a safety issue, if a fast-paced Wyandotte St connects directly to our street. **Yes**, there are more driveways on the East side and **Yes**, those people need to be cautious when they back out of their driveway, **but** it is their own parked cars that they are now successfully able to maneuver around. They can choose to not park at the edge of their own driveway, if that is best for them. Please review the parking needs for Jarvis and keep it to the East side, where it is required.

-Also, regarding having parking on East side of Jarvis:

1. I have a fire hydrant in front of my house (West side of Jarvis). I would think that maintaining a clear lane, without parked cars next to the hydrant, would be ideal for fire trucks.

2. I often have had other large service vehicles (hydro, telephone, cable, etc.), who are required to park on the West side of the street, to allow direct and safe access to the service connection at the hydro pole at the edge of my property.

### 4. Do you have any other comments?

-**Environmental and Habitat Concern:** The homeowner at 1105 Jarvis (immediately South of a potential Beverly Glen extension) has been dedicated to helping the **at risk** Monarch butterfly population. She has maintained an environment where they thrive, and this past year she successfully released over 300 Monarchs. Construction to the neighbouring trees, bushes and land of the Beverly Glen area, will harm this at risk Monarch habitat.

-Even though it is proposed that many trees along Jarvis Av are not in the planning for removal, getting so close to their extensive root system, by widening the roadway and existing sidewalk, will cause them damage.

-One of the nice charms of the area is the thoughtful homeowner on the Northeast corner of Jarvis and Dillon, who has placed a neighbourhood book recycling drop-off/take shelter. This represents the essence of what this neighbourhood is all about.

-We have several major-artery-style streets in the immediate area: Little River, Cora Greenwood, Riverside Drive, Banwell, Greenpark and Wyandotte St E. Although it may take a couple extra seconds for me to drive around the block from Little River, it is very nice to come home to a peaceful, established neighbourhood, with it's calming atmosphere and several mature trees. Please consider keeping the peace.

Thank you for giving the neighbourhood residents an extension on handing in these comment sheets, affording us the opportunity to have our own community meeting.

**In summary:** I would like to see the calm and peaceful Jarvis neighbourhood remain as it is, **Do Nothing**, regarding a Wyandotte St full expansion and I look forward to a local improvement to have Jarvis Av repaved. The benefit to others wanting to cut through our neighbourhood at a faster means should not outweigh our need for safety and our own local needs.

Thank you, for taking the time to read this.

Caron Braunstein, 961 Jarvis Av

## Dowie, Andrew

---

**From:** Kirk Raymond Gmail <kjraymond01@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 27, 2019 5:26 PM  
**To:** Dowie, Andrew  
**Subject:** Wyandotte Street East to Jarvis Avenue Environmental Assessment  
**Attachments:** 20191127142017327.pdf

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

To: Andrew Dowie P. Eng.

City of Windsor, Engineering Department

1266 McDougall Avenue

Windsor, ON N8X3M7

November 27, 2019

Good evening Mr. Dowie,

We have been advised by neighbours that the City is soliciting comments in relation to an Environmental Assessment currently being conducted for Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue. We are the owners of 0 Wyandotte Street East in Windsor (highlighted on the attached map) which is within the study area for the above mentioned process. Further, we reside at 11483 Amalfi Court, Windsor which borders the same study area. It is concerning that this process appears to have the potential to significantly impact our properties yet we did not receive notification from the City of Windsor regarding the ongoing assessment. Unfortunately, this also resulted in not having the opportunity to attend the Public Information Centre held on October 24, 2019.

The proposed project has our full support for a number of reasons. Jarvis Avenue is in desperate need of modernization. As residents and frequent users of the entire area that is under study, we have several concerns with the existing infrastructure which are specific to Jarvis Ave. and Wyandotte St. E. We are pleased to see that these issues appear to be addressed in the proposed scope of work. It is readily apparent to any user that the

existing state of Jarvis road and associated sidewalk is substandard for the current level of use let alone any possible increase in future demand. At this time, the general conditions include a narrow roadway, narrow sidewalk, uneven and cracked surfacing as well as potholes. All of these deficiencies make use hazardous. Although I am not aware of any recent problems, it is also important to note that the existing sewer system is likely nearing the limits of its capacity and lifecycle.

Currently, the only means of access to our property at 0 Wyandotte is through the City's right of way which is located between 591 & 911 Jarvis Avenue. Our property is 'landlocked' and as such, we are drastically limited in options for use. This lack of accessibility would be resolved with the extension of Wyandotte St. E. to Jarvis.

Please accept this as our formal request to be added to the project mailing list. Further, we are in support of the scenario 3 however, we would not be opposed to scenarios 2a, 4 or 5 as they are outlined. The impacts that are associated with the scope of work for each of the scenarios (2-5) are acceptable from our perspective. The potential impacts to the environment as described in the Public Information Display Boards are minimal when compared to the overall improvements this project would have on the surrounding infrastructure and capacity for emergency response. The benefit of the proposed access points, storm sewers and multi-use trail also outweigh the minimal impact to existing trees and regional species.

We are aware of a planned ward meeting on December 4<sup>th</sup> and we will be in attendance to make sure that Council hears our support for this project. We would also like to take this opportunity to encourage the City to move this project forward as quickly as possible.

Regards,

Kirk & Anna Raymond

11483 Amalfi Crt.,

0 Wyandotte St. E.,

Windsor, ON

Phone: (519)999-1039

kjraymond01@gmail.com

Sent from my iPhone

COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class Environmental Assessment

Name: SARAH BASSINGTHWAITE  
Address: 1055 JARVIS ST - SBASSINGTHWAITE@COGLEO.CA  
(Mailing /email)  
Phone #: 226-346-7794  
(optional)

Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the exception of personal information all comments will become part of the public record.

1. Do you agree (not agree with the recommended concept? What would you change, if anything?)

NO, I DO NOT AGREE WITH RECOMONATIONS BY CITY OF WINDSON

2. What other features would you like to see considered for Wyandotte Street East, Jarvis Avenue or Beverly Glen Street?

DO NOTHING, LEAVE AS IS, PAVE THE ROAD.

3. What do you like and/or dislike about the concepts presented today?

A- RESIDENTS OF JARVIS SHOULD NOT  
B- PAY FOR YOUR RECOMONATIONS,  
C- WE PAY ENOUGH TAXES. (WHAT DOES THE CITY OF WINDSON DO WITH MY TAXES)  
CITY OF WINDSON HAS NOT TOUCHED OUR STREET (JARVIS) IN OVER 30 YEARS

4. Do you have any other comments?

LEAVE AS IS. WE DO NOT WANT EXTRA TRAFFIC. LEAVE THE ROAD CLOSED. PAVE THE STREET WITH NEW ASPHALT. STOPPING RAISING OUR TAXES (WE WOULD LIKE A BREAKDOWN OF WHAT YOU DO WITH MY TAX MONEY



COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class Environmental Assessment

Name: Wm. BASSINGTHWAITE  
Address: 1055 JARVIS b.bassing@gmail.com  
(Mailing /email)  
Phone #: 226-246-0048  
(optional)

Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the exception of personal information all comments will become part of the public record.

1. Do you agree / not agree with the recommended concept? What would you change, if anything?

I DO NOT AGREE WITH RECOMENDATIONS BY CITY OF WINDSOR!

2. What other features would you like to see considered for Wyandotte Street East, Jarvis Avenue or Beverly Glen Street?

WYANDOTTE ST. SHOOD BE CURVED TO RUN INTO RIVERSIDE DRIVE. BEVERLY GLEN CLOSED AT BANWELL (SELL LOT FOR NEW HOME)

3. What do you like and/or dislike about the concepts presented today?

A- DO NOT FEEL JARVIS  
B- RESIDENTS SHOOD PAY FOR  
C- CITY OF WINDSOR IMPROVEMENTS  
WHERE DOES MY ENORMOUS TAX BILL GO TO?  
ITS NOT TO MY STREET JARVIS?

4. Do you have any other comments?

BANWELL ROAD SHOOD HAVE BEEN THRU TO RIVERSIDE DRIVE. JARVIS NEEDS TO BE LEFT OPEN TO RIVERSIDE DRIVE AND CLOSED (DEAD END AT LITTLE RIVER) PAVE OUR STREET WITH NEW ASPHALT!

adewie@citywindsor.ca





COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class Environmental Assessment

Name: Alina and Tiberiu Costan  
Address: 943 Jarvis  
(Mailing /email)  
Phone #: 519-366-0943  
(optional)

Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the exception of personal information all comments will become part of the public record.

1. Do you agree / not agree with the recommended concept? What would you change, if anything?

We do not agree, DO NOTHING!

2. What other features would you like to see considered for Wyandotte Street East, Jarvis Avenue or Beverly Glen Street?

I do not agree to opening any other street into Jarvis but I would like to see Jarvis road repaired as it looks like a dirt road now.

3. What do you like and/or dislike about the concepts presented today?

only cons:  
A- I don't agree to open Wyandotte to Jarvis, because  
B- it will turn our quiet street into a highly traffic  
C- street, resulting into safety issues for my two young children.  
- I don't agree to give away part of my property to become public parking, and pay part of the project. Nonsense!

4. Do you have any other comments?

- I moved here last year because we felt in love with the quiet and green street, and any of the concepts of development will destroy that.  
I don't know any of the residents of Jarvis who will like to see the street opened to high traffic. The money for the project will be better spent somewhere where they are really needed.

591

- construction process
- grassy area on my property for public parking!
- need parking by the street. I can't give away
- PARKING SPACE! I have a long driveway, I don't
- bike trail
- traffic message

All safety issues created by this development project:

COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class Environmental Assessment

Name: PAUL PROUX  
Address: 530 JARVIS  
(Mailing /email)  
Phone #: 519 965 2541  
(optional)

Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the exception of personal information all comments will become part of the public record.

1. Do you agree / not agree with the recommended concept? What would you change, if anything?

I REQUEST THAT A CUL DE SAC BE INCLUDED AT RIVERSIDE DR AND JARVIS.

2. What other features would you like to see considered for Wyandotte Street East, Jarvis Avenue or Beverly Glen Street?

A CUL DE SAC AT NORTH END

3. What do you like and/or dislike about the concepts presented today?

- A- I LIKE THE PLAN EXCEPT WE
- B- NEED A CUL DE SAC AT THE
- C- NORTH END

4. Do you have any other comments?

WE HAVE WITNESSED NUMEROUS ACCIDENTS & CLOSE CALLS AT THE INTERSECTION OF GUNATCHE AND JARVIS, MOST OF WHICH GO UNREPORTED. A CUL DE SAC WOULD REDUCE THE CITY'S EXPOSURE TO LEGAL ACTIONS RESULTING FROM CAR, PEDESTRIAN ACCIDENTS AT THIS INTERSECTION. WE HAVE OBSERVED MANY VEHICLES SPEEDING SINCE →

THE STREET WAS OPENED TO DILLON +  
CASTLE HILL. CLOSING THE STREET AT  
BOTH ENDS WILL ELIMINATE THIS.

## Dowie, Andrew

---

**From:** Scott Carter <scott@scottcarter.ca>  
**Sent:** Monday, November 25, 2019 3:38 PM  
**To:** Dowie, Andrew  
**Subject:** Re: 563 Jarvis

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Andrew,

We recently had a meeting of the residents In regards to the Wyandotte / Jarvis street upgrade. In the presentation there were 5 options laid out. It would be our preference to have nothing done and to leave our street as it is and not have Wyandotte come thru, Option 1. We also feel that if there is a decision to be made it should wait until we have a counsellor to represent our concerns at city council. If in fact Wyandotte is forced thru, the only option that would be acceptable would be to close Jarvis at Wyandotte. Please keep me updated as to any developments.

Thanks, Kelly and Scott Carter - 563 Jarvis

On Tue, Oct 22, 2019 at 9:20 AM Dowie, Andrew <[adowie@citywindsor.ca](mailto:adowie@citywindsor.ca)> wrote:

Thank you Scott. I will ensure that you are added to the project list. The Public Information Centre times are 4pm to 7pm.

Best Regards,

**ANDREW DOWIE, P.Eng., FEC | ENGINEER III**



**From:** Scott Carter <[scott@scottcarter.ca](mailto:scott@scottcarter.ca)>  
**Sent:** Monday, October 21, 2019 10:41 AM  
**To:** Dowie, Andrew <[adowie@citywindsor.ca](mailto:adowie@citywindsor.ca)>  
**Subject:** 563 Jarvis

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Andrew,

I live at 563 Jarvis and would like to know what time the public information meeting is on Thursday Oct. 24th at the Riverside Sportsmen's Club. I also would like to be kept up to date on any decisions or developments that occur in regards to Jarvis.

Thanks Scott

--

**Scott Carter** | Sales Representative

**Royal LePage Binder Real Estate Inc.**

1350 Provincial Rd

Windsor, ON, N8W 5W1

**Direct Line:** 519-796-3680 | **Fax:** 519-948-1619

**Email:** [scott@scottcarter.ca](mailto:scott@scottcarter.ca)

[www.scottcarter.ca](http://www.scottcarter.ca)

## Dowie, Andrew

---

**From:** K. Stannard <stannardk@live.ca>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 30, 2019 11:49 AM  
**To:** Dowie, Andrew  
**Subject:** Wyandotte Extension to Jarvis

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Sir;

Thank-you for the opportunity to share my view on the proposed Wyandotte St. E. extension to Jarvis. Further to my hand written submission, and after reflection on the presentation you provided us at the Riverside Sportsmen's Club on October 24, I would like to submit the following.

I don't believe the counselor or city counsel has ever consulted the community to determine if there is a political will to undertake this project. I understand that the Wyandotte extension was always part of the plan but until the lands to the north and south are free for development, I question the timing and linkage to the proposed work on Jarvis. I don't believe there was any consideration of this until the last municipal election when a candidate brought this up.

While I agree with the suggestion that Jarvis needs upgrading, I realize that the decision to complete the Jarvis portion of the project should rest with the majority of residents affected as there is some cost sharing involved. I can understand that the extension of Beverly Glenn or the opening of Jarvis at Little River would have the result of bringing the cul de sac into compliance while providing emergency services with a second and southern access point to Jarvis and that would have the least impact on the environment particularly with respect to traffic flow. To me, the simplest and most cost efficient way would be to open Jarvis at Little River and liquidate the lots to the east and west of the intersection. I suggest the existing access point at Riverside should be retained.

In my view the Wyandotte extension to Jarvis will increase the traffic volume of what is a comparatively quiet little community, and adversely affect nature and wild life, and since it will do nothing to alleviate the flooding of privately owned lots north and south of the proposed extension, I would suggest the extension is not necessary at this time and should be tabled until such time that it becomes necessary due to development of the lands to the north and south. While I don't know what the cost of the extension would be, I suggest that the money appropriated for that project would be of much better use in more important projects like repairing existing roadways.

Please keep us informed on the progress and when this will be scheduled for city counsel debate. I think we need a public meeting to determine the will of the residents before too much effort is expended.

Respectfully,  
Kim Stannard



916 Banwell Rd., Windsor.

*Note: If you forward this email, please delete the forwarding history, which includes my email address. It is a courtesy to me and others who may not wish to have their email addresses sent all over the world. Erasing the history also helps prevent Spammers from mining addresses and prevents viruses from being propagated.*

Mr. Andrew Dowie, P. Eng.  
City of Windsor  
1266 McDougall Avenue,  
Windsor ON N8X 3M7  
[adowie@citywindsor.ca](mailto:adowie@citywindsor.ca)

November 22, 2019

**RE: Wyandotte Street East to Jarvis Avenue Environmental Assessment**

Mr. Dowie:

Please accept this letter as our comment sheet regarding the above noted Environmental Assessment. We understand that an extension of submission of comments has been allowed to accommodate the community meeting which occurred last evening at the Riverside Sportsmen's Club.

Just to add some context to our opinions of the project; my parents moved to Jarvis in June 1958. My mother still lives in the same home at 998 Jarvis. A few years after we were married in 1984, my wife and I purchased 576 Jarvis and after the birth of our second child, built a larger home at 580 Jarvis in 1993. My brother and niece also have homes on the street. As you can imagine, we are well-versed on the history of the street and the overall development issues of East Riverside. The Environmental Assessment presented to us by your department on October 24<sup>th</sup> came as no surprise, and we were impressed by the thought and reflection on the issues identified in the study. I have corresponded regularly over the past decade with Councillors Gignac, Hatfield and Kusmierczyk on the progress of this project and more specifically, the urgently needed improvements to Jarvis St. which have always been tied to its completion. We had no urgent concerns with the assessment presented in October, but decided to attend last evening's meeting as the organizers indicated they had new information.

Unfortunately, we felt that the agenda for the last night's meeting devolved to misinterpreting the environmental assessment in an attempt to garner community support for a "do nothing scenario" and to petition for the outright cancellation of the entire project. A veiled suggestion was presented that the project was moving forward more to appease traffic flow concerns of the residents of Tecumseh's Ward 1. We were also told that the proposal drawings presented in the assessment were misleading in that we would surely lose many of the mature boulevard trees if the local improvement phase of Jarvis went ahead as proposed. It was also suggested that the cost sharing brunt to the residents would be exorbitant yet we were shown no compelling evidence of such. It was clearly obvious that the organizers of the meeting wanted to gauge community emotion of this issue and bring it to the fore in the upcoming Ward 7 by-election.

There was plenty of emotional discussion of the "rural charm" Jarvis exudes in its present, deteriorating state. Our family and other long time residents, have patiently endured decades of a dangerously narrow road used as an indiscriminate thoroughfare for heavy equipment with unmanaged traffic flow, broken pavement, potholes, standing water, deficient storm drainage, stagnant mosquito infested drainage ditches, complete lack of on-street parking resulting in unenforced illegal, dangerous and unsightly permanent boulevard parking and insufficient street lighting. I could go on. Frankly, we've tired of this

“charm”. We accept that we have been integrated into a fully urban community and have long anticipated the improved infrastructure and amenities our new neighbours enjoy. **As such, we fully support Scenario 3 of the Assessment, but have no real issues with scenarios 4 and 5 as presented.** We are also prepared to discuss the cost-shared local improvements to finally bring Jarvis up to accepted urban standards. Our only concern lies in that your proposed cross sections display bike lanes on both sides of Jarvis. We would like some confirmation that at least one side will provide allowable street vehicular parking. We would also like some clarification as to the proposed directional flow of a new storm water system for Jarvis. It was suggested by the organizers of last night’s meeting that we would be tied into the East Riverside Planning Area reservoir system as opposed to the current north flow towards Riverside Dr. which served us surprisingly well through the two heavy rain events over the past several years.

Unfortunately we all do not have the luxury of time and energy to wage battle against every cause that comes forward. We hope and trust that the engineering and urban planning recommendations put forward by your department on behalf of the community will be carried forward by Council.

Thanks again for your all your efforts and we look forward to further discussions as this plan nears implementation.

Chris & Sandy Jacobson  
580 Jarvis St.  
Windsor, ON N8P 1C9  
[jacobsonsonjarvis@gmail.com](mailto:jacobsonsonjarvis@gmail.com)  
[sjacobson2@cogeco.ca](mailto:sjacobson2@cogeco.ca)  
519-979-1122  
519-919-5346

Comment sheet

Name: Christopher Manzon

Address: 937 Jarvis Avenue

Phone: 519-735-0054

Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue municipal class environmental assessment

1. Do you agree/not agree with the recommended concept? What would you change, if anything
  - a. I do not agree with the recommended concept
  - b. I agree with recommend scenario 1 – do nothing
2. What other features would you like to see considered for Wyandotte Street East, Jarvis Avenue or Beverly Glen Street?
  - a. Do nothing other than an upgrade to the pavement structure and drainage on Jarvis. There has been no funds expended on the right of way infrastructure since the sanitary sewers were installed in 1977 except pothole repair
  - b. There is no mention of traffic calming measures or noise attenuation measures
3. What do you like and/or dislike about the concepts presented today?
  - a. Do not extend Wyandotte to Jarvis
    - i. The extension takes a traffic issue and pushes into a neighbourhood which currently doesn't have an issue
    - ii. The Jarvis neighbourhood will become the traffic calming measure and not in a positive way. The offset created at Jarvis will create numerous issues not the least of which is a safety problem. This issue was brought up during the original Lakeview/East Riverside planning process and the City decided not to address it at that time when it would have been much more economical and effective (2b). Therefore the neighbourhood should not have to suffer because the City was negligent in getting ahead of the potential problem
    - iii. The original planning process recommended the offset of Banwell at Wyandotte in order breakup the flow of traffic and not create an extended straight corridor not only along Wyandotte but also along Banwell yet this report is recommending the direct linkage to Jarvis and along Jarvis
  - b. The recommendation to extend the class 2 arterial from Banwell to Jarvis (10.4m width) and then along Jarvis to Dillon is unacceptable as this completely disrupts the existing neighbourhood dynamic especially the large country like setting that is unique to this neighbourhood including the homes along Banwell which enjoy the quiet open space in their backyards. The widening of Jarvis especially to 10.4m is going to create a freeway which will encourage higher speeds and failures to stop at the intersections which will decrease safety significantly. Note that Cross Section B-B show a pavement width of 10.4m + 1.5m + 1.5m for a total width of 13.4m

- c. The traffic report indicates an order of magnitude increase of traffic on Jarvis which is unacceptable with no mention of attempting to mitigate the impact.
  - d. The Noise Study indicates a significant increase in noise levels with no mention of attempting to mitigate the impact
4. Do you have any other comments?
- a. There are a number of significant negative impacts on the existing neighbourhood with no mention on mitigation measures. Those include traffic quantities and noise levels
  - b. This extension will not create any noticeable improvements to the surrounding areas
  - c. Emergency response times will not be improved by any significance (no risk reduction) and should not be used as an excuse to push through this proposal
  - d. There are numerous other areas in the city which require more significant improvements and these funds should go towards those projects before consideration on this project which will only create negative impacts on the existing neighbourhood
  - e. Based on all of these items brought forward I completely disagree with the recommendation presented in the Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue municipal class environmental assessment
  - f. There is no mention to address the rear yard drainage issues created by the lack of proper design considerations with the new subdivisions to the west of Jarvis avenue. This has negatively impacted the neighbourhood
  - g. Significant mature trees will be eliminated due to the proposed widening. Offsetting to the other side is not acceptable
  - h. There is not a single positive impact on the existing neighbourhood, this is why I do not support the recommendation

COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class Environmental Assessment

Name: PAUL BROTHERS  
Address: 1035 JARVIS  
(Mailing /email)  
Phone #: 519-564-3641  
(optional)

*Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the exception of personal information all comments will become part of the public record.*

1. Do you agree / not agree with the recommended concept? What would you change, if anything?

2. What other features would you like to see considered for Wyandotte Street East, Jarvis Avenue or Beverly Glen Street?

3. What do you like and/or dislike about the concepts presented today?

- A -
- B -
- C -

4. Do you have any other comments?

THIS IS LONG OVERDUE

COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class Environmental Assessment

Name: PAUL BROTHERS

Address: 1035 JARVIS  
(Mailing /email)

Phone #: \_\_\_\_\_  
(optional)

*Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the exception of personal information all comments will become part of the public record.*

1. Do you agree / not agree with the recommended concept? What would you change, if anything?

DO NOTHING UNTIL  
WE HAVE CITY REPRESENTATION

2. What other features would you like to see considered for Wyandotte Street East, Jarvis Avenue or Beverly Glen Street?

3. What do you like and/or dislike about the concepts presented today?

- A -
- B -
- C -

4. Do you have any other comments?

COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class Environmental Assessment

Name: JOYCE BROUILLETTE

Address: 1030 JARVIS  
(Mailing/email)

Phone #: \_\_\_\_\_  
(optional)

*Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the exception of personal information, all comments will become part of the public record.*

1. Do you agree / not agree with the recommended concept? What would you change, if anything?

WHEN NEW STORM SEWER IS BROUGHT DOWN JARVIS PART OF STREET OR SHOULDER WILL BE DUG UP. I DIDN'T HEAR ANY RECOMMENDATIONS ABOUT REPAIRING JARVIS

2. What other features would you like to see considered for Wyandotte Street East, Jarvis Avenue or Beverly Glen Street?

3. What do you like and/or dislike about the concepts presented today?

- A- WAS ASSURED THAT NO TREES ON JARVIS RIGHT OF
- B- WAY WOULD BE LOST.
- C- DON'T LIKE BOTH ENDS OF JARVIS BEING CLOSED OFF. IF CLOSED AT RIVERSIDE, SHOULD BE OPENED AT LITTLE RIVER.

4. Do you have any other comments?

AM CONCERNED ABOUT TAXES, IF STREET IS PAVED & CURBS ADDED. IS IT POSSIBLE JUST TO HAVE NEW ASPHALT APPLIED TO ENTIRE STREET?



COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class Environmental Assessment

Name: Joyce BROUILLETTE  
Address: 1030 JARVIS  
(Mailing /email)  
Phone #: 519-995-1192  
(optional)

*Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the exception of personal information all comments will become part of the public record.*

1. Do you agree / not agree with the recommended concept? What would you change, if anything?

DO NOT WANT MATURE TREES ON JARVIS THREATENED.

2. What other features would you like to see considered for Wyandotte Street East, Jarvis Avenue or Beverly Glen Street?

3. What do you like and/or dislike about the concepts presented today?

A -  
B -  
C -

4. Do you have any other comments?

I SUPPORT SCENERIO # 1

DO NOTHING

Karen Roy Ron Kravets  
919 Jarvis Ave.

1. For the residents closest to the corner of Jarvis & Wyandotte Jarvis & Dillon will have the biggest safety concerns due to the increased traffic. Crossing the road to get to the mailbox. Also getting into and out of their driveways because already most of the people do not stop at the stop sign at Dillon & Jarvis. Now you want us to have another intersection to watch out for traffic. We all know that people do not STOP. I do back into my driveway and still have concerns.
2. Safety for the 3 boys that live at the corner that are mentally challenged. The school bus stops at that corner. All the little kids that cross Dillon at Jarvis everyday to go to meet their friends and grandparents. Their safety is most important then anything else.
3. We currently have no ward 7 counsellor to voice the concerns of the residents of Jarvis. There for I feel this is unfair to move ahead with the plan's.
4. Safety for everyone on this 3 block road. More so where the heavy traffic will be north of Dillon will get the biggest increase. I understand it will be 1150 vehicles increase a day over time. Totally unacceptable to the residents that this will effect the most. I am one of them.
5. The green space that we will be losing and wildlife refuge that we currently have. If you don't live here you have know idea what you miss out on. It's amazing what we have.
6. The increase development of homes that will be on Wyandotte. Along with the increase flooding that will occur in the backyard of the residents. My backyard is flooded from April to late June due to the poor planning of Banwell rd. With the standing water brings the perfect breeding ground for mosques. We are talking inches of water. It's more like a pond. I have lost 5 cedar tree's they have drowned from to much water. The roots have no oxygen die.

Thanks for reading this  
Karen Roy

COMMENT SHEET



Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class Environmental Assessment

Name: Karen Roy Ron Kravets  
Address: 919 Jarvis Ave  
(Mailing /email)  
Phone #: 519 735 4813  
(optional)

Information will be collected in accordance with Ontario's Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. With the exception of personal information all comments will become part of the public record.

1. Do you agree / not agree with the recommended concept? What would you change, if anything?

We do not agree with any plans to have Wyandotte end at Jarvis Ave. There are many other roads already that can be taken to get to Desperance rd.

Do nothing. Leave Jarvis as it is

2. What other features would you like to see considered for Wyandotte Street East, Jarvis Avenue or Beverly Glen Street?

Do nothing.

3. What do you like and/or dislike about the concepts presented today?

A- Safety

B- traffic increase

C- tree loss + green space

4. Do you have any other comments?

many see next page

## COMMENT SHEET

Diane Russett, 591 Jarvis Ave N9P 1C8  
519-551-2122  
[dianerussett@gmail.com](mailto:dianerussett@gmail.com)

### 1. Do you agree/not agree with the recommended concept? What would you change if anything

*I do not agree with the proposal from the City re: this project and am choosing option 1- DO NOTHING!*

### 2. What other features would you like to see considered for Wyandotte St E, Jarvis Ave or Beverly Glen Street?

*Although there is a lot of green space (because of the private property owned mostly by residents between the rear of Jarvis and Banwell), there is nowhere on Jarvis or even within a short walk where kids can play. I think the Wy Extension property s/b left as greenspace, with room for kids to play. We don't even need park equipment, just a spot for them to play catch, shoot pucks in the winter etc.*

### 3. What do you like and/or dislike about the concepts presented today?

Bringing Wyandotte through to Jarvis will totally change the look and feel of this street. Of course, we all wish our road could be fixed to be in better shape, and a few more manhole covers and storm sewers and maybe even a bit wider.....but not nearly as wide as the City is recommending.

My first big issue is traffic (not just vehicle traffic because in reality we could end up with a City Bus!). The traffic studies done by the City, which were not widely shared at the City meeting in October, clearly show an increase in traffic, growing over the next 10 years. This is a very quiet street, there are a couple of houses that have special needs children. I see them playing on driveway and sidewalks. Everyone feels safe here because traffic is light, and for the most part, the majority of cars belong to residents on this street. We will get a lot more traffic if we open Wyandotte, and all it will do is allow the folks from Tecumseh to continue on Wyandotte all the way through Tecumseh with few stop signs and no stop lights. A very convenient way to get home without going on the drive for a direct route. In addition, the wider the road, the faster the traffic! The area in between where Wyandotte connects to Jarvis and Dillon is going to be a nightmare. In that short space at least 6 driveways intersect. Traffic will be going N/S and E/W. This is NOT safe.

The City plan says the purpose of the Wyandotte extension is to allow better access for emergency and municipal services. Clearly at our recent Jarvis Residents meeting (held Nov 21<sup>st</sup>), homeowners in attendance did not feel we are at risk on this street. With an entry on Wyandotte and another at Dillon and Whistler, residents feel that we have adequate access, There are Fire fighters and Police Officers on Jarvis, and they did not share any concerns with the current situation.

The City plan says there will be no trees removed on Jarvis but a number of trees will be removed in order to extend Wyandotte. In February 2019, Anne Jarvis had an excellent article in the paper about

Trees. The article talked about how trees are the community's "quiet workhorses". They clean our air and water. They cool and calm us. They protect our homes from flooding. The folks with the large back yards are complaining now about flooding, imagine if these big beautiful trees are gone! The article went on to say that increasing Windsor's tree canopy was voted one of council's two priorities, however, the City is prepared to take down a bunch of big beautiful trees to bring through a road because it's been on the City plan for many years!! The article gave a million reasons why trees are valuable, and went on to talk about how you cannot cut down a tree even on your own property without City approval, and if it's not diseased, approval will not be given. The plan also indicates there will be no trees removed on Jarvis. Although there may not be plans to actually cut trees down, I think it's naive to believe that there won't be trees damaged as the result of the road & sidewalk work.

There is very little way for the city to re-coupe any of the monies spent to extend Wyandotte, so this road has no revenue generating potential. I have heard there is only a few residents that may be interested in selling off property to a developer to build. The city is already getting taxes on those large properties on the West side of Jarvis and that revenue is not likely to change with the building of new homes.

#### **4. Do you have any other comments?**

There is so many places the City needs to spend money, on revenue generating assets as well as necessary infrastructure. The Wyandotte extension is not a good use of tax dollars. There have been articles in the Windsor Star about The Riverside Dike System (18.7M), A new approach to ease flooding at Tranby Park (\$2M), Work on the pumping stations.....all important projects that should be supported through tax dollars. But this extension, this is not a good use of tax dollars at all! I truly believe (as do other residents) that this project is nothing more than the City being able to tick a box that says they met its goal to take Wyandotte to the City limit....which isn't really the city limit so leaving it end at Banwell, which is a street designed for heavier traffic is the perfect ending! The folks that will benefit the most from this expansion are the Tecumseh residents looking for a quicker way home, even though there are plenty of E/W routes that they can take.

I hope the City is serious about acting on our comments. This is OUR street. We love the quietness and quaintness of it. Sure we hate the potholes and chewed up shoulders and crumbling asphalt and homeowners will consider a local improvement petition at a later date, but keeping the street with a very rural feel. We live here, please don't ruin our street!! Why would the City want to bring this much traffic through a quiet community???

Sadly, we don't have a councillor at this time to represent our interests. Not sure what difference that would make in this process. I feel that if it does, any decisions or future work on this project should be put on hold until we get a councillor! Andrew has been very helpful during this process to date, attentive and providing information where he can. However, he doesn't represent our interests, he has a position in the City to figure out how to make this work, and of course taking into account comments from Residents. We thought it would be Andrew would carry forward clearly to the senior folks in the planning department as well as council that this project is being rejected by many of the residents on Jarvis as well as others in the local area (Dillon, McTague, Cora Greenwood etc) before additional dollars are spent by the City in the planning process. More tax dollars wasted if we are successful in our request for Option 1 – DO NOTHING. We need someone working with us that will help us shut this down and clearly outline the steps we need to take to make this happen.

## COMMENT SHEET

### Wyandotte Street East and Jarvis Avenue Municipal Class Environmental Assessment

Name: Ryan Marier

Address: 911 Jarvis Ave

Email: [ryan.z.marier@gmail.com](mailto:ryan.z.marier@gmail.com) 519-919-5910

#### 1. Do you agree/not agree with the recommended concept?

I do not agree with the technical recommendations presented. I strongly feel as a Resident of Jarvis and City of Windsor taxpayer that I support the Scenario 1 – Do Nothing.

#### 2. What other features would you like to see considered for Wyandotte, Jarvis or Beverly Glen?

No other features need considering

#### 3. & 4. Likes/Dislikes and other Comments

I would like to begin by addressing the "Problem Statements" given in the EA as to why this needs to be completed.

1. *Problem Statement: To address limited access to Jarvis Avenue that limits the provision of emergency and municipal services, Windsor City Council is planning to fund the extension of Wyandotte Street East from Banwell Road to Jarvis Avenue.*

We conducted an objective meeting to discuss the EA as a neighborhood, the majority of Jarvis Ave residents attended and Emergency Service personnel were also invited and attended. It was confirmed from that Windsor/Essex Ambulance service currently does not have an issue given that the base is very accessible from Lesperance and can enter Jarvis from either Dillon or Castle Hill. A Wyandotte extension made no difference in response time.

Windsor Police confirmed that Dillon and Castle Hill from the West were more than adequate for access and Riverside Drive from the East is the best access for response time given the Police Station's location to Riverside Dr. and they prefer being able to generally patrol the area from Riverside Dr. all the way down to the cul-de-sac.

Windsor Fire also had no issue with response coverage. The only clear advantage for a handful of seconds added would be if Jarvis came through to Little River on the South end or if Beverly Glen was a completed road from Florence Ave but nothing to substantiate Wyandotte being extended.


I understand that this Environmental Assessment is part of a process because a placeholder was put on future budget funds to complete a project that was a part of a master plan from over 70 years ago. While a local improvement is needed for Jarvis, it should not be at the expense of converting it from a small avenue into an arterial or major collector road which is what is recommended if Wyandotte was brought through.

I disagree that the local improvement cost sharing does not follow the city's guidelines of majority resident's support of the project. I've heard from some Jarvis neighbours that city representatives have informed them that the only way to improve our road is to accept Wyandotte and they'll tack on the redesigned Jarvis road. It was not communicated to them that the tacking on of Jarvis was just the standard Local Improvement program from a rural road. The Local Improvement requires a consent from the residents of the road, this process does not seem to include that petition step and any procession of this project without our consent I feel is bypassing our rights. If we, the Jarvis residents, are paying for the road, sewer, curbs and lighting than the city should require our support into the design.

We could do that program without Wyandotte and potentially at a reduced cost to both the city and Jarvis resident due to Jarvis not having to be widened as much to accept Wyandotte traffic. I also find it inconvenient that this is being pushed along while we in Ward 7 do not have representation on City Council.

- 2. Problem Statement: During the last 5 inter-census periods, this district has experienced a positive population growth and an increased number of occupied dwellings.*


The recent population growth and increased occupied dwellings in "our district" have occurred either South of Little River or West of Banwell. If this is the reason why Wyandotte through to Jarvis is needed, then the engineers have failed to look at the map. Logistically none of those residents would ever take a Wyandotte 1 block extension to go East/West.



All these highlighted areas above are the population growth over the last 5 inter census' and all have easy access to major roads to go in any direction.

Since there is no logical route for these district residents to use an extended Wyandotte to Jarvis this leads me to who I firmly believe that the area that benefits the most from a Wyandotte extension are the residents of Tecumseh Ward 1 (providing them a speedier or more convenient linkage for their commutes) all while putting the few remaining streets of Windsor at a safety risk and significant and unwanted "improvement" cost to the residents of Jarvis Ave.

This is clearly a case of fabricating a problem so you can use this solution. The traffic reports do not substantiate a need for this road, they arrive at the conclusion that if this road went through, more people would take it. A quick look at an East side map tells us who those people would be.



The city has simply failed to point out that a real problem exists for Windsor and I strongly question the motives and validity of the project recommendations from the engineers behind this project specifically head City Engineer, Mark Winterton, who has stated to many folks when questioned about this project that he wants to see Wyandotte through to Jarvis before he retires as his pet project as well as City Engineer, Andrew Dowie, who is the Tecumseh Ward 1 Councilor and Ward 1 resident himself.

I very much dislike that there is no sound basis of facts from the report or studies that make the Wyandotte extension necessary and this project would be of little to no value to the City of Windsor and its residents. The only official reason the city engineering department keeps pursuing this extension of Wyandotte for one more block is because "It's part of the master plan".




This project is an irresponsible use of the City's budget, offers no reward to our residents and has a disregard for resident's safety.

The EA studies show potential traffic and noise but it does nothing to address community safety. The current studies do not account for the reality of what bringing Wyandotte through will bring.


I cannot begin to imagine what the intersection of Wyandotte & Banwell will become with through Wyandotte traffic and a stop sign at Banwell and the safety nightmare of a Wyandotte/Dillon chicane through Jarvis.

The results from searching the local news for accidents in the last 12 months are startling, and how many of them have resulted in casualties. This is the type of story that this project is going to bring to our neighbourhood. I read one of these tragic articles every week; a pedestrian or cyclist struck or killed by vehicle. None of these occurred on small neighbourhood roads like the current Jarvis Ave. They all occurred on our major roads intersecting with smaller ones. One of them where a car drove directly into a home where a fast moving road came to a tee much like the proposal for Wyandotte would look like.



Police are investigating after red truck collided with a cyclist at the corner of Sandwich and St. Arnaud Streets in Amherstburg Tuesday. (Julie Kotsis/The Windsor Star)

### Sandwich & St. Aubin St.




A Windsor police officer is shown at the scene of a fatal collision at the intersection of Tecumseh Rd. and Rose Ville Garden Dr. on Mon. Dec. 16, 2013 in Windsor, ON. A pedestrian was struck and killed. A truck and a car were involved in the accident. (DAN JARVISSE/The Windsor Star)

The drivers of two vehicles have been charged following a freak crash  
**Pedestrian killed Tecumseh and Rose Ville**

Neighbours say they are not surprised to hear about the crash, claiming the scene is notorious for speeders.



"I'm really hoping for speed bumps, because I'm sure that will help," says Jackie Lapointe.

"Maybe the city can do something to fix this corner," adds Brierley. "There's always an accident here, it's pretty terrifying."



Source: @AHadadeanCTV

**South National & Jefferson**



Windsor Police SUV, July 9, 2019. Blackburn News file photo.

# Pedestrian injured in Windsor crash

BY MARK BROWN NOVEMBER 4, 2019 8:33PM

@markbrownradio

Tecumseh Road & Felix (pedestrian)

ABSOLUTE COMFORT WE NOW OFFER DUCT CLEANING! Before After



The intersection of Wyandotte Street East and Lawrence road. (Photo by Allanah Wills)

# UPDATE: Pedestrian struck near Wyandotte Street East and Pillette Road

BY ADELLE LOISELLE JANUARY 8, 2019 10:22AM



Windsor Star

Yesterday at 9:12 PM

Cars "flying" down Wyandotte Street East with little regard for red lights has spurred the city's nine business improvement associations to seek fine-doubling Community Safety Zon...



WINDSORSTAR.COM

Speeding on Wyandotte prompts call for more Community Safety Zones



Local News

# Pedestrian struck by car in December has died

Julie Kotsis • Windsor Star  
January 4, 2019 • < 1 minute read



A Windsor police forensics officer photographs evidence after a female pedestrian was struck by a vehicle on Tecumseh Road East on the morning Dec 18. PHOTO BY NICK BRANCACCIO

A 68-year-old woman struck by a car Dec. 18 on Tecumseh Road East at Banwell Road has died, according to Windsor Police.

Police were called to the scene for a report of a pedestrian struck by a car at approximately 9:30 a.m.

The woman was taken to Windsor Regional Hospital in serious condition.

This was Banwell & Tecumseh.

# Pedestrian struck by Windsor police cruiser

Share this story:

CTV Windsor  
Published Sept. 2, 2019 1:27 p.m. ET  
Updated Sept. 3, 2019 10:28 a.m. ET



The aftermath of a collision involving a Windsor Police Service cruiser and a pedestrian on a motorized scooter in the intersection of Wyandotte St. E. and McDougall St. on Monday September 2, 2019. (Photo by AM800's Gord Bacon)

An investigation is underway after a pedestrian was struck by a Windsor police cruiser.



Windsor Star  
5 mins •



Howard Avenue just south of Tecumseh Road East was closed on Sunday night because a man was struck by a vehicle, say Windsor police. His injuries were fatal.



WINDSOR STAR • 1 MIN READ

## Pedestrian dead from collision on Howard Avenue, say Windsor police



Windsor police and EMS paramedics work at the scene where a family of four pedestrians were struck on Ottawa Street at Hall Avenue on Saturday, March 16, 2019. PHOTO BY DAX MELMER

Though a family of four walking across Ottawa Street was struck by a vehicle Saturday morning, the injuries appear to have been minor.

Windsor police report that a mother and three children, all under the age of eight, were struck by a car while they were crossing the Ottawa Street and Hall Avenue intersection Saturday morning.



Local News

# Elderly pedestrian hit by vehicle in Kingsville

Taylor Campbell  
6 days ago • 1 minute read



An elderly woman sustained serious injuries when a motor vehicle struck her in Kingsville Monday morning, according to the Ontario Provincial Police.

The pedestrian had been using a crosswalk when a vehicle exiting a parking lot in the 400 block of Main Street hit her around 10:30 a.m., police said.