



MEMO

TO: Planning & Building Services Department, Planning Division, City of Windsor
FROM: Melissa Goodwin and Brad McLeod, Dillon Consulting Limited
CC: Melanie Muir, Dillon Consulting Limited
DATE: April 22, 2022
SUBJECT: Environmental Evaluation Report Terms of Reference for the proposed residential development southwest of Florence Avenue and Wyandotte Street East, City of Windsor, Ontario
OUR FILE: 21-1691

Background

Dillon Consulting Limited (Dillon) has been retained by Ganatchio Gardens Inc. (the “client”) to undertake natural environment services for a proposed residential development southwest of Wyandotte Street East and Florence Avenue (the “Project Location”) in the City of Windsor, Ontario. The Project Location and Study Area boundary (120 meters beyond the property limits) are shown on **Figure 1**, attached. The field work component of this project was completed during the 2017, 2018, and 2022 field seasons. It is important to note that surveys have been determined to consist of Species at Risk (SAR) habitat assessments and tree inventory and assessment.

In accordance with the City of Windsor (the “City”) Official Plan (OP; 2013), the Project Location falls within lands designated as Residential on Schedule D (Land Use Plan) and is almost 300 m to the east of lands designated as Environmental Policy Area A. The Project Location consists of an irregularly-shaped 3.4 hectare parcel of now vacant land that was farmed up until the 2021 growing season. The west and southern portions of the Project Location are bound by a treed hedgerow. Based on historical knowledge, the most up-to-date aerial photography, and the habitat assessment site visit, the current land use within the Project Location is agricultural, dating back to 2000. Residential dwellings, park and institutional land use are present to the north; residential dwellings and agriculture are present to the east; residential dwellings and park (Little River Corridor) are present to the south; and agriculture, park (Ganatchio Trail – Little River), and the Little River Pollution Control Plant (1.0 km from the Project Location) are present to the west.

An Environmental Evaluation Report (EER) has now been requested by the City of Windsor. The EER will be completed in accordance with Section 5 of the City’s OP and the Essex Region Conservation Authority (ERCA) Environmental Impact Assessment Guidelines (Nelson and Lebedyk 2019); and based on field work completed to date. The purpose of the EER is to document the existing conditions of the natural environment, and specifically, the presence of significant natural features as outlined in Section 2 of the Provincial Policy Statement, which include:

DILLON CONSULTING LIMITED

3200 Deziel Drive, Suite 608, Windsor, Ontario, N8W 5K8 ♦ Telephone: (519) 948-5000 ♦ Fax: (519) 948-5054

www.dillon.ca

- Significant wetlands;
- Significant woodlands;
- Significant valleylands;
- Significant wildlife habitat;
- Significant areas of natural and scientific interest (ANSI's);
- Fish habitat;
- Habitat of Endangered or Threatened species;
- Sensitive surface water features; and
- Sensitive ground water features.

The EER will identify the potential impacts that the proposed development may have on these features, and develop recommendations that will appropriately minimize or eliminate impacts to natural features.

In order to address the policies of both the City's OP as well as ERCA's EIA Guidelines, we have prepared the following Terms of Reference (ToR) for the City's approval. A ToR check-list is provided below, outlining the required field studies and other components. Due to historic agricultural land use of the Project Location, some field surveys have been deemed unnecessary. After conducting a preliminary screening for SAR and based on our knowledge of the area, there is the potential for several SAR to be present within the vicinity of the Study Area; including, but not limited to, Eastern Foxsnake (*Pantherophis gloydi*), Butler's Gartersnake (*Thamnophis butleri*), SAR Bats, and Butternut (*Juglans cinerea*). SAR concerns have already been addressed under separate cover with the Ministry of Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP).

We thank you for your time in reviewing the ToR and we look forward to working together with you as we move forward.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Yours Sincerely,

DILLON CONSULTING LIMITED



Brad McLeod, M.Sc.
Biologist

DILLON CONSULTING LIMITED

3200 Deziel Drive, Suite 608, Windsor, Ontario, N8W 5K8 ♦ Telephone: (519) 948-5000 ♦ Fax: (519) 948-5054

www.dillon.ca



Terms of Reference Checklist

Introduction/Approach

- The EER must be undertaken by a qualified professional in environmental or related sciences to the satisfaction of the City.
- The EER should describe and illustrate the boundaries of the Project Location and Study Area along with existing land use and details regarding the type of development.
- The EER will include the zoning and all designations of OP's pertaining to the Project Location and Study Area. This includes land use designations from other municipal planning and/or policy documents, such as Secondary Plans.
- Land use designations from other applicable planning documents (i.e. City of Windsor) will be clearly described and the limits identified in the report mapping.

Biophysical Inventory

- The existing conditions, such as natural features and functions located within the Study Area must be clearly described and clearly mapped on the most up-to-date aerial imagery.
- All designated environmental features (i.e. natural hazard features or other natural heritage features identified in the OP's) must be identified in the mapping and described in the report. These features include provincial or regional Areas of Natural and Scientific Interest (ANSI's), Provincially and Locally Significant Wetlands (PSW's and LSW's), Environmentally Significant Areas (ESA's), Significant Wildlife Habitat, Significant Woodlands, Significant Valleylands, unevaluated wetlands, etc.
- The EER should identify the extent of natural heritage/hazard features (should they be located within the Study Area, pending access). Boundaries of natural heritage features should be confirmed in the field and mapped on a figure in the report.

Note: A Natural Site Features Inventory and Preservation Study was completed in August, 2018.

- A description of the soils, landforms, and surficial geology based on a review of readily-available mapping and literature must be described in the report. Available topographical information will be provided on constraints mapping and will include any staking done to date as well as the calculated hazard limits, if applicable.
- Hydrological and hydrogeological resources and issues, including wellhead protection areas, surface water features, recharge/discharge zones, meander belts, groundwater quality and quantity, groundwater elevations and flow directions, and connections between groundwater and surface water features will be identified in the report based on data from the consulting team, if it is available.
- The vegetation communities must be identified using the Ecological Land Classification (ELC) System for Southern Ontario protocol (Lee *et al.* 1998) with 2008 updates (Lee 2008) to vegetation type, where possible. The communities will be identified on report mapping using the appropriate ELC codes, as well as described in the text. As a component of the ELC, a plant list, organized by vegetation community must be included. The list will indicate provincially-, regionally-, and/or locally-rare, Threatened or Endangered species. This should include information from the Natural Heritage Information Centre (NHIC).
- A one-season vegetation survey is required. A list of vegetation species observed, will be compiled using the Southern Ontario Floral Inventory Analysis, must include plant communities based on ELC, and will indicate each species rarity and/or designations under the Endangered Species Act (ESA; 2007), where applicable. This should include information from the NHIC.

Note: Appropriate vegetation surveys have already been completed.

- The EER requires a breeding bird survey. The survey must be conducted during the breeding bird season at an appropriate time of day, in appropriate weather conditions, and by a qualified professional. A minimum of two surveys are required and they must follow generally-accepted scientific protocols, such as those outlined in the Ontario Breeding Bird Atlas Instructions for General Atlassing (Birds Canada 2021). A list of the breeding birds must be included. The list will indicate any provincially-, regionally-, and/or locally-rare, Threatened or Endangered species.

Note: No suitable bird habitat for SAR and/or Species of Conservation Concern (SCC) exists within the Study Area, therefore bird breeding surveys are not proposed.

- The EER requires a snake survey (both artificial cover object surveys [ACO] and visual encounter surveys [VES]). The survey will be completed based on our experience with requirements related to SAR in the area, where applicable, and conducted in accordance with generally-accepted protocols described within Survey Protocol for Ontario's Species at Risk Snakes (OMNRF 2016).

Note: No snake surveys are currently proposed for the Project Location.

- The EIA requires an amphibian breeding survey. The survey must be conducted during the amphibian breeding season and by a qualified professional. Surveys will be conducted in accordance with generally-accepted protocols, such as the Marsh Monitoring Program Participant's Handbook for Surveying Amphibians (Bird Studies Canada 2009). If present, the list will indicate any provincially-, regionally-, and/or locally-rare, Threatened or Endangered species.

Note: No amphibian habitat is present within the Study Area, therefore amphibian breeding surveys are not proposed.

- An aquatic assessment should be conducted due to the presence of suitable fish habitat as identified in background documents and confirmed on-site. The assessment should include a description of watercourses or other fish habitat on and/or adjacent to the Project Location (where site access is permitted).

Note: No suitable fish habitat is present within the Study Area, therefore an aquatic assessment is not proposed.

- All incidental wildlife observed should be reported on and included in the EER. The list must include an analysis for the presence of federally-, or provincially-rare, Threatened, or Endangered species.

- All records of federally-, or provincially-rare, Threatened, or Endangered species observed during formal surveys or incidentally, will be submitted to the NHIC using the most up to date version of the Ontario Species at Risk Observation Reporting Form.

Biophysical Analysis

- The biophysical analysis will address current policy, technical documents, and legislation including, but not limited to, the Endangered Species Act (ESA; 2007), the Provincial Policy

Statement (PPS; 2020), Natural Heritage Reference Manual (2010), Significant Wildlife Habitat Technical Guide (2000), Significant Wildlife Habitat Ecoregion 7E Criteria Schedules (2015), etc.

- The staking of significant natural features (e.g. woodlots, PSW's, etc.) may be required. Staking will generally occur between the end of May and the end of October. Any staking that occurs outside of this time may require a confirmatory visit between May and October.

Note: We do not anticipate the need to stake any natural features within the Study Area.

- The EER will include a biophysical analysis that identifies the significance of natural features and functions.
- A functional assessment of the Study Area describing the ecology of the natural heritage features and functions within the Study Area should be provided. The functional assessment may include ecological function, wetland function, natural heritage features and landscapes, benefits of importance to humans, and corridors and linkages, as required.

Development Proposal Description

- The EER will, at a minimum, include a preliminary site plan showing the type(s) and location(s) of the proposed development overlaid on a recent orthophoto. The site plan will clearly show setbacks and/or buffers, including distance from proposed development areas and proposed structures to lot lines and/or to environmental features and functions designated for protection, where applicable.
- The EER will describe other relevant issues (e.g. servicing, stormwater management, municipal drainage, open space dedication, hazards, etc.) from an ecological perspective, pending receipt of relevant reports from other disciplines, should they have the potential to impact the identified natural hazard/heritage features. These can be highlighted within the proposed development description, or, where applicable, under the potential impact assessment.

Potential Impact Assessment

- Mapping (at a minimum) shall consist of the following:
 - a) All mapping must have a title, figure number, north arrow, legend, and scale or scale bar.
 - b) A site location map that provides the regional or watershed context of the Study Area.

- c) The extent of the natural heritage system and its components must be clearly demarcated on an air photo base, if applicable.
 - d) The locations of all watercourses and waterbodies.
 - e) Vegetation communities must be delineated and identified using ELC.
 - f) The location of any rare, Threatened, or Endangered species and/or populations may be referenced in the EER, where appropriate.
 - g) The location of any important wildlife features (e.g. hibernacula, den, stick nest, etc.) may be identified pending sensitivity to public information.
-
- The potential impacts to the features and functions of natural areas should be identified and discussed.
 - An assessment of the potential impact on significant wildlife habitat at a local, watershed, and provincial (if applicable) level should be provided using the Ecoregion 7E criteria schedules.
 - In the case of significant natural heritage features and other significant natural features (as confirmed through field studies), the EER must demonstrate that there is no development or site alteration within the feature with the exception of uses as specified in the OP and/or prior approvals. The EER must determine appropriate buffers from significant natural features.
 - The EER should include one or more figures which overlays the proposed development on the ecological constraints of the site. The analysis should determine the area(s) and type(s) of natural features and function that may be directly and/or indirectly impacted by the proposed development. Proposed buffers which will protect natural features and functions should be clearly shown on figures. Rationale for proposed buffers will also be provided.

Mitigation Strategies

- Avoidance of any natural heritage feature is the preferred approach to mitigation unless otherwise specified in the OP and/or prior approvals.
- Determine adequate buffers through the identification of the critical function and protection zones of any identified natural areas.
- Where avoidance of a feature is not feasible or possible, all feasible mitigation measures/approaches should be explored and described in the report. These may include edge management plans, buffer plantings, fencing, low impact designs (LID), etc.

- ☒ The EER should provide a detailed outline of mitigation measures intended to eliminate or reduce potential construction-related impacts to areas designated for protection. Recommendations for Best Management Practices during construction should be provided. This may include silt fencing, tree protection, fencing, identification of timing or seasonal constraints to construction or restoration, etc.
- ☒ Mitigation for negative impacts on the natural features or their ecological functions (or to achieve no net negative impact) may include, at the discretion of the planning authority, approaches to replace lost areas or functions. If acceptable, replacement shall, to the extent possible, occur within the same watershed as the proposed development or site alteration. The appropriate amount of replacement will be determined through discussions with the City and will be agreed to by all parties in writing.
- ☒ If monitoring is required, the details of a monitoring program must be agreed to in writing by the pertinent planning authorities, and other parties (if required).

Conclusions

- ☒ The EER will summarize the key finding of the report including the biophysical inventory and analysis, assessment of potential impacts, impact avoidance measures, mitigation measures, and opportunities for environmental enhancement. The conclusion will include a final recommendation to approve/not approve the development proposal based on the results of the study, and identify conditions of approval required to achieve no negative impact.



References


- Bird Studies Canada. 2009. Marsh Monitoring Program Participant's Handbook for Surveying Amphibians. 2009 Edition. 13 pages. Published by Bird Studies Canada in cooperation with Environment Canada and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. February 2009.
- Birds Canada. 2021. Ontario Breeding Bird Atlas Instructions for General Atlassing.
- City of Windsor. 2013. City of Windsor Official Plan and Schedules.
- Endangered Species Act, 2007. (S.O. 2007, C-6). <https://www.ontario.ca/laws/statute/07e06>. Accessed 2019.
- Lee, H.T., W.D. Bakowsky, J. Riley, J. Bowles, M. Puddister, P. Uhlig, and S. McMurray. 1998. Ecological Land Classification for Southern Ontario: First Approximation and Its Application. Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources, Southcentral Science Section, Science Development and Transfer Branch. SCSS Field Guide FG-02.
- Lee, H.T. 2008. Southern Ontario Ecological Land Classification – Vegetation Type List. London, Ontario.
- Nelson, M. and D. Lebedyk. 2019. Environmental Impact Assessment Guideline. Essex Region Conservation Authority.
- Ontario Ministry of Environment, Conservation and Parks. 2019. Client's Guide to Preliminary Screening for Species at Risk.
- Ontario Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing. 2020. Provincial Policy Statement.
- Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry. 2000. Significant wildlife habitat technical guide. 151pp.
- Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry. 2010. Natural Heritage Reference Manual for Natural Heritage Policies of the Provincial Policy Statement 2005. Second Edition. Toronto: Queen's Printer for Ontario.
- Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry. 2015. Significant Wildlife Habitat Criteria Schedules for Ecoregion 7E. 41pp.
- Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry. 2016. Survey Protocol for Ontario's Species at Risk Snakes. Species Conservation Policy Branch. Peterborough, Ontario. ii + 17pp.

Attachment

Figure 1 – Project Location



PROJECT LOCATION
FIGURE 1.0



MAP/DRAWING INFORMATION
THIS DRAWING IS FOR INFORMATION PURPOSES ONLY. ALL DIMENSIONS AND BOUNDARY INFORMATION SHOULD BE VERIFIED BY AN O.L.S PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION.

SCALE : 1:2500



SOURCE: COUNTY OF ESSEX
AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHY (2019)

CREATED BY: ESB
CHECKED BY: BTM
DESIGNED BY: ESB

File Location:
c:\pw working directory\projects 2021\32esb\dms32529\21-1691 tor - figures.dwg
March, 29, 2022 12:36 PM



PROJECT: 21-1691
STATUS: FINAL
DATE: 03/29/2022